arXiv:1510.05242v3 [math.AP] 8 Nov 2015

Global Smooth Solutions to the Nonisothermal Compressible Fluid Models of Korteweg Type with Large Initial Data

Zhengzheng Chen
School of Mathematical Sciences, Anhui University, Hefei, 230601, China

Lin He
School of Mathematics and Statistics, Wuhan University, Wuhan, 430072, China

Huijiang Zhao*
School of Mathematics and Statistics, Wuhan University, Wuhan, 430072, China

Abstract

The global solutions with large initial data for the isothermal compressible fluid models of Korteweg type have been studied by many authors in recent years. However, little is known of global large solutions to the nonisothermal compressible fluid models of Korteweg type up to now. This paper is devoted to this problem, and we are concerned with the global existence of smooth and non-vacuum solutions with large initial data to the Cauchy problem of the one-dimensional nonisothermal compressible fluid models of Korteweg type. The case when the viscosity coefficient $\mu(\rho) = \rho^\alpha$, the capillarity coefficient $\kappa(\rho) = \rho^\beta$, and the heat-conductivity coefficient $\tilde{\alpha}(\theta) = \theta^\lambda$ for some parameters $\alpha, \beta, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is considered. Under some assumptions on α, β and λ, we prove the global existence and time-asymptotic behavior of large solutions around constant states. The proofs are given by the elementary energy method combined with the technique developed by Y. Kanel' [23] and the maximum principle.

Keywords Navier-Stokes-Korteweg system; Global solutions; Large initial data; Maximum principle

AMS Subject Classifications: 35Q35, 35L65, 35B40

1 Introduction

The motion of a one-dimensional compressible nonisothermal viscous fluid with internal capillarity can be described by the Korteweg-type model (see [1, 2, 3, 7, 9]):

$$\begin{cases} \rho_\tau + (\rho u)_y = 0, \\ (\rho u)_\tau + (\rho u^2 + p(\rho,\theta))_y = (\mu u_y)_y + K_y, \\ \left(\rho\left(e + \dfrac{|u|^2}{2}\right)\right)_t + \left(\rho u\left(e + \dfrac{|u|^2}{2}\right) + p(\rho,\theta)u\right)_y = (\tilde{\alpha}\theta_y + \mu u_y + K + W)_y, \end{cases} \quad \tau > 0, y \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{1.1}$$

*Corresponding author. E-mail: hhjjzhao@hotmail.com

Here τ and y represent the time variable and the spatial variable, respectively. The Korteweg tensor K and the interstitial work flux W are given by

$$\begin{cases} K = \kappa\rho\rho_{yy} + \rho\kappa_y\rho_y - \dfrac{1}{2}\kappa_\rho\rho\rho_y - \dfrac{\kappa}{2}\rho_y^2, \\ W = -\kappa\rho\rho_y u_y. \end{cases}$$

The unknown functions are the density $\rho > 0$, velocity u, absolute temperature $\theta > 0$, pressure p and the internal energy e of the fluid. $\mu, \kappa > 0$ and $\tilde{\alpha} > 0$ denote the viscosity coefficient, the capillarity coefficient and the heat-conductivity coefficient, respectively. The functions p, e and the coefficients $\mu, \kappa, \tilde{\alpha}$ are functions of ρ and θ. Note that when $\kappa = 0$, system (1.1) is reduced to the compressible Navier-Stokes system.

Korteweg-type models are based on an extended version of nonequilibrium thermodynamics, which assumes that the energy of the fluid not only depends on standard variables but also on the gradient of the density. Thus throughout this paper, we suppose that the pressure p and the internal energy e are given by

$$p = R\rho\theta = Av^{-\gamma}\exp\left(\frac{\gamma-1}{R}s\right), \quad e = C_v\theta + \frac{1}{2\rho}\left(\kappa(\rho,\theta) - \theta\kappa_\theta(\rho,\theta)\right)\rho_x^2, \tag{1.2}$$

where s is the entropy, $v = \frac{1}{\rho} > 0$ is the specific volume, $C_v = \frac{R}{\gamma-1}$, and $\gamma > 1, A, R$ are positive constants.

The global existence and large-time behavior of solutions to the compressible fluid models of Korteweg type have been studied by many authors. For small initial data, we refer to [6, 7] for the global existence of smooth solutions around constant states in Sobolev space, [10, 11, 12, 13, 17, 18, 20, 19] for the large-time behavior of smooth solutions in Sobolev space, and [5, 9] for the global existence and uniqueness of strong solutions in Besov space.

For large initial data, Bresch, Desjardins, and Lin [4] investigated the global existence of weak solutions for an isothermal fluid with a linearly density-dependent viscosity coefficient and a constant capillarity coefficient in a periodic or strip domain. Later, such a result was improved by Haspot [8] to a general density-dependent viscosity coefficient and a specific type of capillarity coefficient. Tsyganov [14] showed the global weak solutions for an isothermal system with the viscosity coefficient $\mu(\rho) \equiv 1$ and the capillarity coefficient $\kappa(\rho) = \rho^{-5}$ on the interval $[0, 1]$. Charve and Haspot [15] proved the global existence of large strong solution to the isothermal Korteweg system with $\mu(\rho) = \varepsilon\rho$ and $\kappa(\rho) = \varepsilon^2\rho^{-1}$ in $\mathbb{R}$. Recently, Germain and LeFloch [16] studied the global existence of weak solution for the isothermal Korteweg system with general density-dependent viscosity coefficient and capillarity coefficient in $\mathbb{R}$. Both the vacuum and non-vacuum weak solutions were obtained in [16]. Moreover, Chen et al. [21] discussed the global existence of smooth and non-vacumm solutions to the isothermal Korteweg system with general density-dependent viscosity and capillarity coefficients in the whole space $\mathbb{R}$.

Notice that the results on the large solutions of the compressible fluid models of Korteweg type [4, 8, 14, 15, 16, 19] are all concerning the isothermal system. To the best of our knowledge, few results have been obtained for the global large solutions to the nonisothermal compressible fluid models of Korteweg type up to now. The main purpose of this paper is devoted to this problem, and we are concerned with the global existence of smooth, non-vacuum solutions to the Cauchy problem of system (1.1) with large initial data when the viscosity coefficient μ and the capillarity coefficient κ depend only on ρ, and the heat-conductivity coefficient $\tilde{\alpha}$ depends only on θ. Motivated by the works [24, 25, 26, 27, 28] on the global large solutions for the compressible Navier-Stokes system,

these density and/or temperature dependent physical coefficients will have strong influence on the solution behavior and thus lead to difficulties in analysis. In this paper, we mainly use the technique developed by Y. Kanel' [23] and the maximum principle to overcome those difficulties.

Now we begin to formulate our main results. Throughout this paper, we will assume that the physical coefficients μ, κ and $\tilde{\alpha}$ are given by

$$\mu = \mu(\rho) = \rho^{\alpha}, \quad \kappa = \kappa(\rho) = \rho^{\beta}, \quad \tilde{\alpha} = \tilde{\alpha}(\theta) = \theta^{\lambda}, \tag{1.3}$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ are some constants. Then under the assumptions of (1.2) and (1.3), the system (1.1) in the Lagrangian coordinates can be written as

$$\begin{cases} v_t - u_x = 0, \\ u_t + p(v,\theta)_x = \left(\dfrac{u_x}{v^{\alpha+1}}\right)_x + \left\{-\dfrac{v_{xx}}{v^{\beta+5}} + \dfrac{\beta+5}{2}\dfrac{v_x^2}{v^{\beta+6}}\right\}_x, \\ C_v\theta_t + p(v,\theta)u_x = \left(\dfrac{\theta^{\lambda}\theta_x}{v}\right)_x + \dfrac{u_x^2}{v^{\alpha+1}}, \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

where $t > 0$ is the time variable, $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is the Lagrangian space variable, $v = \frac{1}{\rho} > 0$ denotes the specific volume, and the pressure $p(v,\theta) = \frac{R\theta}{v}$. System (1.4) is imposed with the following initial data:

$$(v,u,\theta)(0,x) = (v_0(x), u_0(x), \theta_0(x)), \quad \lim_{x\to\pm\infty}(v_0(x), u_0(x), \theta_0(x)) = (1,0,1). \tag{1.5}$$

Our first theorem is concerned with the the global existence of smooth and non-vacuum solutions to the Cauchy problem (1.4)-(1.5), which is stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that the constants α, β satisfy one of the following conditions:*

$$\begin{aligned} &(i) \quad \alpha = 0, \quad \beta = -2, \\ &(ii) \quad \alpha < -2\beta - 4, \quad \beta \geq -\frac{3}{2}, \\ &(iii) \quad \alpha < -\beta - \frac{5}{2}, \quad -2 \leq \beta < -\frac{3}{2}, \\ &(iv) \quad -\infty < \alpha < +\infty, \quad -3 \leq \beta < -2, \\ &(v) \quad \alpha > -2\beta - 5, \quad \beta < -3, \end{aligned}$$

and the constant λ satisfies $\lambda \geq 1$. Suppose the initial data $(v_0 - 1, u_0, \theta_0 - 1) \in H^4(\mathbb{R}) \times H^3(\mathbb{R}) \times H^3(\mathbb{R})$, and there exist positive constants $\bar{V}, \underline{V}, \bar{\Theta}, \underline{\Theta}$ such that

$$\underline{V} \leq v_0(x) \leq \bar{V}, \quad \underline{\Theta} \leq \theta_0(x) \leq \bar{\Theta}, \quad \forall\, x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{1.6}$$

Then the Cauchy problem (1.4)-(1.5) admits a unique global smooth solution $(v,u,\theta)(t,x)$ satisfying for any given constant $T > 0$ that

$$C_1^{-1}(T) \leq v(t,x) \leq C_1(T), \quad C_2^{-1}(T) \leq \theta(t,x) \leq C_2(T), \quad \forall\,(t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}, \tag{1.7}$$

$$\|(v-1)(t)\|_{H^4(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|(u,\theta-1)(t)\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \int_0^T \left(\|v_x(s)\|_{H^4(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|(u_x,\theta_x)(s)\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R})}^2\right) ds \leq C_3(T), \tag{1.8}$$

where $C_1(T), C_2(T)$ are some positive constants depending only on $T, \alpha, \beta, \lambda, \underline{V}, \bar{V}, \bar{\Theta}, \underline{\Theta}, \|v_0 - 1\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}$, $\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$ and $\|\theta_0 - 1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$, and $C_3(T)$ is a positive constant depending only on $T, \alpha, \beta, \lambda, \underline{V}, \bar{V}, \bar{\Theta}, \underline{\Theta}$, $\|v_0 - 1\|_{H^4(\mathbb{R})}$ and $\|(u_0, \theta_0 - 1)\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R})}$.

Remark 1.1. *Some remarks on Theorem 1.1 are given as follows.*

- *The conditions (i)-(v) and $\lambda \geq 1$ are used to derive the positive lower and upper bounds for the specific volume $v(t,x)$ and the temperature $\theta(t,x)$ by using Kanel's method [23] and the maximum principle (see the proof of Lemma 2.2, Corollary 2.2 and Lemmas 2.6-2.11 for details).*
- *Compared to the isothermal case [21], a new case (i): $\alpha = 0, \beta = -2$ is obtained in Theorem 1.1 for the parameters α and β by some more delicate analysis (see the proof of Lemmas 2.3, 2.6 and 2.11 for details). We believe that some similar results can also be established for the isothermal fluid by employing the method in this paper, which will be reported in a forthcoming paper by the authors.*

In Theorem 1.1, we need the parameter λ to satisfy $\lambda \geq 1$. Our next result will remove this restriction in another setting. However, we need $\gamma - 1$ to be small as a compensation. Moreover, the large-time behavior of solutions with large initial data to the Cauchy problem (1.4)-(1.5) will be established. Take $(v,u,s)(t,x)$ as unknown functions, and let $\bar{s} := \frac{R}{\gamma-1} ln \frac{R}{A}$ be the far field of the initial entropy $s_0(x)$, i.e.,

$$\lim_{|x|\to+\infty} s_0(x) = \lim_{|x|\to+\infty} \frac{R}{\gamma-1} ln \frac{R\theta_0(x) v_0(x)^{\gamma-1}}{A} = \bar{s},$$

then our second global existence result on the Cauchy problem (1.4)-(1.5) can be stated as follows.

Theorem 1.2. *Let the constants α, β satisfy one of the following conditions:*

$$\begin{aligned} &(a) \quad \alpha = 0, \quad \beta = -2, \\ &(b) \quad \beta = 2\alpha - 3, \quad -3 \leq \beta < -2, \end{aligned}$$

and the constant $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume that $\|v_0 - 1\|_{H^4(\mathbb{R})} + \|u_0\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R})} + \|s_0 - \bar{s}\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R})}$ is bounded by some constant independent of $\gamma - 1$, and (1.6) holds for some $\gamma - 1$ independent positive constants $\bar{V}, \underline{V}, \bar{\Theta}, \underline{\Theta}$. Then if $\gamma - 1$ is sufficiently small, the Cauchy problem (1.4)-(1.5) admits a unique global smooth solution $(v,u,\theta)(t,x)$ satisfying

$$C_4^{-1} \leq v(t,x) \leq C_4, \quad \underline{\Theta} \leq \theta(t,x) \leq \bar{\Theta}, \quad \forall\, (t,x) \in [0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}, \tag{1.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(v-1)(t)\|^2_{H^4(\mathbb{R})} + \left\| \left(u, \frac{\theta - 1}{\sqrt{\gamma - 1}} \right)(t) \right\|^2_{H^3(\mathbb{R})} + \int_0^t \left(\|v_x(s)\|^2_{H^4(\mathbb{R})} + \|(u_x, \theta_x)(s)\|^2_{H^3(\mathbb{R})} \right) ds \\ &\leq C_5 \left(\|v_0 - 1\|^2_{H^4(\mathbb{R})} + \left\| \left(u_0, \frac{\theta_0 - 1}{\sqrt{\gamma - 1}} \right) \right\|^2_{H^3(\mathbb{R})} \right), \quad \forall\, t > 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1.10}$$

and the following time-asymptotic behavior:

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} \{ |(v(t,x) - 1, u(t,x), \theta - 1)| \} = 0. \tag{1.11}$$

Here C_4 is a positive constant depending only on $\lambda, \underline{V}, \bar{V}, \bar{\Theta}, \underline{\Theta}, \|v_0 - 1\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}$, $\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$ and $\|(\theta_0 - 1)/\sqrt{\gamma - 1}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$, and C_5 is a positive constant depending only on $\lambda, \underline{V}, \bar{V}, \bar{\Theta}, \underline{\Theta}, \|v_0 - 1\|_{H^4(\mathbb{R})}$ and $\left\| \left(u_0, (\theta_0 - 1)/\sqrt{\gamma - 1} \right) \right\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R})}$.

Remark 1.2. *Several remarks concerning Theorems 1.1-1.2 are listed below.*

- *The conditions (a)-(b) and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ are used to deduce the uniform-in-time lower and upper bounds for the specific volume $v(t,x)$ and the temperature $\theta(t,x)$, and some uniform-in-time energy estimates of solutions to the Cauchy problem (1.4)-(1.5) (see the proof of Theorem 1.2 for details).*

- *In Theorem 1.2, when $\gamma - 1$ is sufficiently small, although $\big\|(\theta_0 - 1)/\sqrt{\gamma - 1}\big\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}$ is small (see (3.28)), $\|(v_0 - 1, u_0, s_0 - 1)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}$ can be large. Furthermore, since we only need $\gamma - 1$ is sufficiently small such that $(\gamma - 1)\left(\|v_0 - 1\|_2^2 + \|(u_0, s_0 - \bar{s})\|_1^2\right) < C$ for some positive constant C independent of $\gamma - 1$ (see (3.30) for details), the norm $\|v_0 - 1\|_{H^4(\mathbb{R})}$, $\|u_0\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R})}$ and $\|\theta_{0xx}/\sqrt{\gamma - 1})\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}$ can be large. This is a Nishida-Smoller type result [35] with large initial data.*

- *In Theorem 1.2, the parameter λ can be any real constant. In fact, Theorem 1.2 also holds when the heat-conductivity coefficient $\tilde{\alpha}(\theta)$ is a positive function of θ with some smoothness condition. This can easily be verified from the proof of Theorem 1.2 with a slight modification.*

- *In Theorems 1.1-1.2, we obtain the global smooth solutions with large initial data for the nonisothermal compressible fluid models of Korteweg type when the physical coefficients are some power functions of the density ρ or the temperature θ. Thus it is interesting to study some more complex and challenging cases such as when the viscosity coefficient, capillarity coefficient and heat-conductivity coefficient depend on both ρ and θ, which will be pursued by the authors in the future.*

Now we outline the main ideas to deduce the main Theorems 1.1-1.2. Compared with the isothermal case [4, 8, 14, 15, 16, 19], an energy equation is involved in our problem (1.4)-(1.5), which brings more difficulties to prove the global existence of large solutions. The key point in the proof of Theorem 1.1 is to derive the positive lower and upper bounds for the specific volume $v(t,x)$ and the temperature $\theta(t,x)$. Here unlike the case of the nonisentropic compressible Navier-Stokes system [22], the argument in [22] can't give the desired bounds on $v(t,x)$ and $\theta(t,x)$ for our problem (1.4)-(1.5) due to the effect of the Korteweg tensor. To achieve these, we mainly use the method of Y. Kanel' [23] and the maximum principle. Firstly, an estimate of $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{v_x^2}{v^{\beta+5}} dx$ appears in the basic energy estimates due to the Korteweg term (see Lemma 2.1). From this, one can deduce easily the lower and upper bounds on $v(t,x)$ for the case (iv) of Theorem 1.1 by employing Kanel's method. Secondly, we can also perform an estimate on $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{v_x^2}{v^{2\alpha+2}} dx$ from the movement equation $(1.4)_2$ under some assumptions on the parameters α and β (see Lemmas 2.3-2.5 and Corollary 2.2), then by using Kanel's method again, some lower and upper bounds of $v(t,x)$ for other cases of Theorem 1.1 can be achieved in terms of $\|\theta^{1-\lambda}\|_{L^\infty([0,T_1]\times\mathbb{R})}$ (see Lemma 2.6). Thirdly, we apply the maximum principle for the energy equation $(1.4)_3$ to obtain a lower bound estimate on $\theta(t,x)$ in terms of $\|v^{\alpha-1}\|_{L^\infty([0,T_1]\times\mathbb{R})}$ in Lemma 2.7. The above estimates together with the upper bound estimate of $\theta(t,x)$ given in Lemma 2.10 then yield the desired lower and upper bounds of $v(t,x)$ and $\theta(t,x)$ provided that the parameters α, β and λ satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 (see Corollary 2.3 and Lemma 2.11). Having obtained the lower and upper bounds on $v(t,x)$ and $\theta(t,x)$, the higher order energy estimates of solutions can be deduced easily and then Theorem 1.1 follows by the standard continuation argument. We mention that the proof of Theorem 1.1 is greatly inspired by our previous works [21, 26].

To prove Theorem 1.2, the main idea is to make the a priori assumption (3.1) for the temperature $\theta(t,x)$. Then under this a priori assumption and the conditions of Theorem 1.2, we can prove the uniform-in-time lower and upper bounds for the specific volume $v(t,x)$ and some uniform-in-time energy estimates for solutions to the Cauchy problem (1.4)-(1.5) by using Kanel's method [23] and

some elaborate estimates. Based on these, we can close the a priori assumption (3.1) by employing the Sobolev inequality and the smallness assumptions on $\gamma-1$. Then Theorem 1.2 follows by combining the local existence result and the energy estimates.

Before concluding this section, we should point out that there are extensive studies on the global smooth and non-vacuum solutions with large data for the compressible Navier-Stokes system, cf. [22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34] and the references therein. A recent progress in this aspect is due to Li and Liang [33], where the authors obtained the global existence and large-time behavior of smooth solutions to the one-dimensional compressible Navier-Stokes system with large initial data and general adiabatic exponent γ. They deduce the uniform positive lower and upper bounds on the temperature $\theta(t,x)$ by multiplying the energy equation by $(\theta-2)_+ := \max\{\theta-2,0\}$ and then some elaborate energy analysis. However, the method in [33] can't be applied to the nonisothermal compressible fluid models of Korteweg type because of the high nonlinearity of the Korteweg tensor. The problem on how to get the large-time behavior of large solutions to the nonisothermal compressible fluid models of Korteweg type with general constant $\gamma>1$ will be left for the forthcoming future.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Sections 2 and 3 are devoted to the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, respectively.

Notations: Throughout this paper, C denotes some generic constant which may vary in different estimates. If the dependence needs to be explicitly pointed out, the notation $C(\cdot,\cdots,\cdot)$ or $C_i(\cdot,\cdots,\cdot)(i\in\mathbb{N})$ is used. For function spaces, $H^l(\mathbb{R})$ is the usual l-th order Sobolev space with its norm

$$\|f\|_l=\left(\sum_{i=0}^{l}\|\partial_x^i f\|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad with \quad \|\cdot\|\triangleq\|\cdot\|_{L^2},$$

and $L^p(\mathbb{R})(1\le p\le+\infty)$ denotes the usual Lebesgue space with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^p}$. Finally, $\|\cdot\|_{L^\infty_{T,x}}$ stands for the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^\infty([0,T]\times\mathbb{R})}$.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

This section is devoted to proving Theorem 1.1. To this end, we seek the solutions of the Cauchy problem (1.4)-(1.5) in the following function space:

$$\begin{aligned}&X(0,T;m_1,M_1;m_2,M_2)\\ &:=\left\{(\phi,\psi)(t,x)\;\middle|\;\begin{array}{c}v(t,x)-1\in C(0,T;H^4(\mathbb{R}))\cap C^1(0,T;H^2(\mathbb{R}))\\ (u(t,x),\theta(t,x)-1)\in C(0,T;(H^3(\mathbb{R}))^2)\cap C^1(0,T;(H^1(\mathbb{R}))^2)\\ (v_x,u_x,\theta_x)(t,x)\in L^2(0,T;H^4(\mathbb{R})\times H^3(\mathbb{R})\times H^3(\mathbb{R}))\\ m_1\le v\le M_1,\quad m_2\le\theta\le M_2\end{array}\right\},\end{aligned}$$

where $M_i\ge m_i>0(i=1,2)$ and $T>0$ are some positive constants.

Under the assumptions listed in Theorem 1.1, we can get the following local existence result.

Proposition 2.1 (Local existence)**.** *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, there exists a sufficiently small positive constant t_1 depending only on $\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\underline{V},\bar{V},\underline{\Theta},\bar{\Theta}$, $\|v_0-1\|_4$, $\|u_0\|_3$ and $\|\theta_0-1\|_3$ such that the Cauchy problem (1.4)-(1.5) admits a unique smooth solution $(v-1,u,\theta-1)(t,x)\in X(0,t_1;\frac{\underline{V}}{2},2\bar{V};\frac{\underline{\Theta}}{2},2\bar{\Theta})$ and*

$$\sup_{[0,t_1]}\{\|(v-1)(t)\|_4+\|(u,\theta-1)(t)\|_3\}\le b\{\|v_0-1\|_4+\|(u_0,\theta_0-1)\|_3\},$$

where $b > 1$ is a positive constant depending only on $\underline{V}, \bar{V}$.

The proof of Proposition 2.1 can be done by using the dual argument and iteration technique, which is similar to that of Theorem 2.1 in [7] and thus omitted here for brevity. To prove Theorem 1.1, one needs to show the following a priori estimates by the standard continuation argument.

Proposition 2.2 (A priori estimates)**.** *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, suppose that $(v-1, u, \theta-1)(t,x) \in X(0,T_1;V_0,V_1;\Theta_0,\Theta_1)$ is a solution of the Cauchy problem (1.4)-(1.5) for some positive constants $0 < T_1 \le T$ and $V_0, V_1, \Theta_0, \Theta_1 > 0$. Then there exist positive constants $C_1(T), C_2(T)$ and $C_3(T)$ which are independent of $V_0, V_1, \Theta_0, \Theta_1 > 0$ such that the following estimates hold:*

$$C_1^{-1}(T) \le v(t,x) \le C_1(T), \quad C_2^{-1}(T) \le \theta(t,x) \le C_2(T), \quad \forall\, (t,x) \in [0,T_1] \times \mathbb{R}, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\|(v-1)(t)\|_4^2 + \|(u,\theta-1)(t)\|_3^2 + \int_0^t \left(\|v_x(s)\|_4^2 + \|(u_x,\theta_x)(s)\|_3^2\right) ds \le C_3(T), \quad \forall\, t \in [0,T_1]. \tag{2.2}$$

In the rest of this section, we focus on deducing Proposition 2.2. We start with the following key lemma.

Lemma 2.1 (Basic energy estimates)**.** *Under the assumptions of Proposition 2.2, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(v,u,\theta)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{v_x^2}{2v^{\beta+5}}dx + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{\theta_x^2}{\theta^{2-\lambda}v} + \frac{u_x^2}{\theta v^{\alpha+1}}\right) dxd\tau \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(v_0,u_0,\theta_0)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{v_{0x}^2}{2v_0^{\beta+5}}dx \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

for all $t \in [0,T_1]$, where the function $\eta(v,u,\theta)$ is defined by (2.4).

Proof. Let

$$\eta(v,u,\theta) := R\phi(v) + \frac{u^2}{2} + C_v\phi(\theta) \quad \text{with} \quad \phi(x) = x - lnx - 1, \tag{2.4}$$

then it is easy to see that $\eta(v,u,\theta)$ is a convex entropy to system (1.4), which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} &\eta(v,u,\theta)_t + \left\{(P-R)u - \frac{uu_x}{v^{\alpha+1}} - \frac{\theta-1}{\theta}\frac{\theta^\lambda\theta_x}{v}\right\}_x + \frac{\theta^{\lambda-2}\theta_x^2}{v} + \frac{u_x^2}{\theta v^{\alpha+1}} \\ &= u\left\{-\frac{v_{xx}}{v^{\beta+5}} + \frac{\beta+5}{2}\frac{v_x^2}{v^{\beta+6}}\right\}_x. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Using equation $(1.4)_1$, we have by a direct computation that

$$\begin{aligned} u\left\{-\frac{v_{xx}}{v^{\beta+5}} + \frac{\beta+5}{2}\frac{v_x^2}{v^{\beta+6}}\right\}_x &= \{\cdots\}_x - u_x\left\{-\frac{v_{xx}}{v^{\beta+5}} + \frac{\beta+5}{2}\frac{v_x^2}{v^{\beta+6}}\right\} \\ &= \{\cdots\}_x - v_t\left\{-\frac{v_{xx}}{v^{\beta+5}} + \frac{\beta+5}{2}\frac{v_x^2}{v^{\beta+6}}\right\} \\ &= \{\cdots\}_x - \left(\frac{v_x^2}{2v^{\beta+5}}\right)_t. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Here and hereafter, $\{\cdots\}_x$ denotes the terms which will disappear after integrating with respect to x.

Combining (2.5) and (2.6), and integrating the resultant equation over $[0,t] \times \mathbb{R}$, then we can get (2.3) immediately. The proof of Lemma 2.1 is completed.

Based on Lemma 2.1, we now show the lower and upper bounds of the specific volume $v(t,x)$ for the case (iv) of Theorem 1.1 by using Kanel's method [23].

Lemma 2.2 (Lower and upper bounds of $v(t,x)$ for the case (iv) of Theorem 1.1)**.** *Let the conditions in Proposition 2.2 hold . Suppose further that $-3 \le \beta < -2$, then there exists a positive constant C_6 depending only on $\underline{V}, \bar{V}, \underline{\Theta}, \bar{\Theta}$ and $\|(v_0 - 1, v_{0x}, u_0, \theta_0 - 1)\|$ such that*

$$C_6^{-1} \le v(t,x) \le C_6 \tag{2.7}$$

for all $(t,x) \in [0,T_1] \times \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Define

$$\Psi(v) = \int_1^v \frac{\sqrt{\phi(z)}}{z^{\frac{\beta+5}{2}}} dz, \tag{2.8}$$

where the function $\phi(\cdot)$ is defined in (2.4). Then we have

$$\Psi(v) \longrightarrow \begin{cases} -\infty, & as\ v \to 0,\ if\ \beta \ge -3, \\ +\infty, & as\ v \to +\infty,\ if\ \beta < -2. \end{cases} \tag{2.9}$$

Furthermore, (2.3) together with the Cauchy inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi(v(t,x))| &= \left| \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \Psi(v(t,y) dy \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{-\infty}^x \sqrt{\phi(v)} \frac{v_y}{v^{\frac{\beta+5}{2}}} dy \right| \le \left\| \sqrt{\phi(v)} \right\| \left\| \frac{v_x}{v^{\frac{\beta+5}{2}}} \right\| \le C. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Thus if $-3 \le \beta < -2$, then (2.7) follows from (2.9) and (2.10) immediately. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.2.

Remark 2.1. *From the proof of Lemma 2.2, we see that if $\beta < -2$, then $v(t,x)$ has a uniform upper bound, i.e., $v(t,x) \le C_6$ for all $(t,x) \in [0,T_1] \times \mathbb{R}$; and if $\beta \ge -3$, then $v(t,x)$ has a uniform lower bound, i.e., $v(t,x) \ge C_6^{-1}$ for all $(t,x) \in [0,T_1] \times \mathbb{R}$, where C_6 is given in Lemma 2.2.*

The following lemma gives the estimate on $\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{v_x^2}{v^{2\alpha+2}} dx$.

Lemma 2.3. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 2.2, there exist a positive constant $C = C(\underline{V}, \bar{V}, \underline{\Theta}, \bar{\Theta})$ and a constant $C(T) > 0$ depending only on $T, \alpha, \beta, \underline{V}, \bar{V}, \underline{\Theta}, \bar{\Theta}$ and $\|(v_0 - 1, v_{0x}, u_0, \theta_0 - 1)\|$ such that for $0 \le t \le T_1$,*

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{v_x^2}{v^{2\alpha+2}} dx + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\theta v_x^2}{v^{\alpha+1}} dx d\tau + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\left(\frac{v_x}{v^{\frac{\alpha+\beta+6}{2}}} \right)_x \right]^2 dx d\tau \\ &\le C \|(v_0 - 1, v_{0x}, u_0, \theta_0 - 1)\|^2 (1 + \|v^{-\alpha}\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}} \|\theta^{1-\lambda}\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}}) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u_x^2}{v^{\alpha+1}} dx d\tau \\ &\quad + C(T) \begin{cases} 0, & if\ \alpha = f(\beta)\ and\ -5 \le \beta \le -2, \\ \left\| \dfrac{1}{v} \right\|^{\alpha-2\beta-5}_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}}, & if\ \alpha \ge -1\ and\ \beta < -3, \\ \|v\|^{-\alpha+2\beta+5}_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}}, & if\ \alpha \le -1\ and\ \beta \ge -2, \\ \|v\|^{2\beta+6}_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}}, & if\ \alpha > -1\ and\ \beta \ge -2. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Proof. Using equation $(1.4)_1$, we can rewrite $(1.4)_2$ as

$$\Big(\frac{v_x}{v^{\alpha+1}}\Big)_t = u_t + p(v,\theta)_x + \Big\{\frac{v_{xx}}{v^{\beta+5}} - \frac{\beta+5}{2}\frac{v_x^2}{v^{\beta+6}}\Big\}_x. \tag{2.12}$$

Multiplying (2.12) by $\frac{v_x}{v^{\alpha+1}}$ yields

$$\Big(\frac{v_x^2}{2v^{2\alpha+2}} - u\frac{v_x}{v^{\alpha+1}}\Big)_t = \frac{R\theta_x v_x}{v^{\alpha+2}} - \frac{R\theta v_x^2}{v^{\alpha+3}} + \frac{u_x^2}{v^{\alpha+1}} + \Big\{\frac{v_{xx}}{v^{\beta+5}} - \frac{\beta+5}{2}\frac{v_x^2}{v^{\beta+6}}\Big\}_x \frac{v_x}{v^{\alpha+1}} + \{\cdots\}_x. \tag{2.13}$$

Due to the formula (2.20) in [21], we have

$$\Big\{\frac{v_{xx}}{v^{\beta+5}} - \frac{\beta+5}{2}\frac{v_x^2}{v^{\beta+6}}\Big\}_x \frac{v_x}{v^{\alpha+1}} = -\Big[\Big(\frac{v_x}{v^{\frac{\alpha+\beta+6}{2}}}\Big)_x\Big]^2 + g(\alpha,\beta)\frac{v_x^4}{v^{\alpha+\beta+8}} + \{\cdots\}_x. \tag{2.14}$$

where the function $g(\alpha,\beta)$ is defined by

$$g(\alpha,\beta) := \frac{(\alpha+1)^2+(\beta+5)^2}{4} - \frac{(\beta+5)(\alpha+\beta+7)}{6}. \tag{2.15}$$

Combining (2.13) and (2.14), and integrating the resultant equation in t and x over $[0,t]\times\mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{v_x^2}{v^{2\alpha+2}}dx + \int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{R\theta v_x^2}{v^{\alpha+1}}dxd\tau + \int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}}\Big[\Big(\frac{v_x}{v^{\frac{\alpha+\beta+6}{2}}}\Big)_x\Big]^2 dxd\tau\\
&\le C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{v_{0x}^2}{v_0^{2\alpha+2}}dx + \|u(t)\|^2 + \|u_0\|^2\right) + \int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{u_x^2}{v^{\alpha+1}}dxd\tau\\
&\quad + \underbrace{\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{R\theta_x v_x}{v^{\alpha+2}}dxd\tau}_{I_1} + \underbrace{g(\alpha,\beta)\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{v_x^4}{v^{\alpha+\beta+8}}dxd\tau}_{I_2},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

where we have used the fact that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{uv_x}{v^{\alpha+1}}\,dx \le \frac{1}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{v_x^2}{v^{2\alpha+2}}\,dx + C\int_{\mathbb{R}}u^2\,dx.$$

By the Cauchy inequality and (2.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &\le \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{R\theta v_x^2}{v^{\alpha+1}}dxd\tau + C\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{\theta_x^2}{\theta v^{\alpha+1}}dxd\tau\\
&\le \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{R\theta v_x^2}{v^{\alpha+1}}dxd\tau + C\|v^{-\alpha}\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}}\|\theta^{1-\lambda}\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}}\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{\theta_x^2}{v\theta^{2-\lambda}}dxd\tau\\
&\le \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{R\theta v_x^2}{v^{\alpha+1}}dxd\tau + C\|v^{-\alpha}\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}}\|\theta^{1-\lambda}\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

For the term I_2, if the function $g(\alpha,\beta)=0$, i.e.,

$$\alpha = \frac{\beta+2}{3} + \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{-2\beta^2-14\beta-20} := f(\beta), \quad -5\le\beta\le -2, \tag{2.18}$$

then $I_2 = 0$.

While if $g(\alpha, \beta) \neq 0$, the estimate of I_2 is divided into three cases. Since the estimates are the same as those in [21], we cite the results directly. According to the estimates (2.22)-(2.24) in [21], we have

$$I_2 \leq \eta \int_0^t \left\| \left(\frac{v_x}{v^{\frac{\alpha+\beta+6}{2}}} \right)_x (\tau) \right\|^2 d\tau + C_\eta T \begin{cases} \left\| \frac{1}{v} \right\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}}^{\alpha-2\beta-5}, & if\ \alpha \geq -1\ and\ \beta < -3, \\ \|v\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}}^{-\alpha+2\beta+5}, & if\ \alpha \leq -1\ and\ \beta \geq -2, \\ \|v\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}}^{2\beta+6}, & if\ \alpha > -1\ and\ \beta \geq -2. \end{cases} \tag{2.19}$$

Here and hereafter, $\eta > 0$ denotes a suitably small constant and C_η is a positive constant depending on η. Putting (2.17) and (2.19) into (2.16), then (2.11) follows from Lemma 2.1 and the smallness of η. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.3.

Next, we estimate the term $\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u_x^2}{v^{\alpha+1}} dx d\tau$ on the right hand side of (2.11).

Lemma 2.4. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 2.2, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(u^2 + \frac{v_x^2}{v^{\beta+5}} \right) dx + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u_x^2}{v^{\alpha+1}} dx d\tau \\ &\leq C(\underline{V}, \bar{V}, \underline{\Theta}, \bar{\Theta}) \left(\|(v_0 - 1, v_{0x}, u_0, \theta_0 - 1)\|^2 + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(\theta-1)^2}{v^{1-\alpha}} dx d\tau \right) \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

for all $t \in [0, T_1]$.

Proof. Multiplying $(1.4)_2$ by u and integrating the resulting equation with respect to t and x over $[0, t] \times \mathbb{R}$, we have from integration by parts that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u^2}{2} dx + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u_x^2}{v^{\alpha+1}} dx d\tau \\ &= -\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} u p(v, \theta)_x dx d\tau + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} u \left(-\frac{v_{xx}}{v^{\beta+5}} + \frac{\beta+5}{2} \frac{v_x^2}{v^{\beta+6}} \right) dx d\tau \\ &:= I_3 + I_4. \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

Using integration by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &= -\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} u \left(\frac{R\theta}{v} \right)_x dx d\tau \\ &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_x \frac{R(\theta-1)}{v} dx d\tau + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} R u_x \left(\frac{1}{v} - 1 \right) dx d\tau := I_3^1 + I_3^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

It follows from the basic energy estimate (2.3) and the Cauchy inequality that

$$I_3^1 \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u_x^2}{v^{\alpha+1}} dx d\tau + C \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(\theta-1)^2}{v^{1-\alpha}} dx d\tau, \tag{2.23}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_3^2 &= -R \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\phi(v))_t dx d\tau \\ &= -R \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(v) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(v_0) dx \right) \\ &\leq C(\underline{V}, \bar{V}, \underline{\Theta}, \bar{\Theta}) \|(v_0 - 1, v_{0x}, u_0, \theta_0 - 1)\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

Finally, we deduce from (2.6) that

$$I_4 = -\int_0^t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{v_x^2}{2v^{\beta+5}} dx \right)_\tau d\tau = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{v_x^2}{2v^{\beta+5}} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{v_{0x}^2}{2v_0^{\beta+5}} dx. \tag{2.25}$$

Then (2.20) follows from (2.21)-(2.25) immediately. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.4.

To control the term $\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(\theta-1)^2}{v^{1-\alpha}} dx d\tau$ on the right hand side of (2.20), we establish the following lemma.

Lemma 2.5. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 2.2, it holds for $\lambda \neq 0, -1$ that*

$$\int_0^t \max_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |\theta(\tau,x)|^\lambda \, d\tau \leq C(T), \tag{2.26}$$

$$\int_0^t \max_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |\theta(\tau,x)|^{\lambda+1} \, d\tau \leq C(T)(1 + \|\theta\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}}), \tag{2.27}$$

$$\int_0^t \max_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |\theta(\tau,x)|^{\lambda+1} \, d\tau \leq C(T)(1 + \|v\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}}), \tag{2.28}$$

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\theta-1|^2(\tau,x) \, dx d\tau \leq C(T)(1 + \|\theta^{1-\lambda}\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}}), \tag{2.29}$$

where $C(T)$ is a positive constant depending only on T and $\|(v_0 - 1, v_{0x}, u_0, \theta_0 - 1)\|$.

Proof. (2.26)-(2.28) can be proved by using the argument developed by Kazhikhov and Shelukhin [22], and (2.29) can be deduced by (2.3) and (2.26). The details are almost the same as those of Lemma 2.7 and Corollary 2.1 in [26], and thus omitted here. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.5.

We derive from (2.29) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(\theta-1)^2}{v^{1-\alpha}} dx d\tau &\leq \|v^{1-\alpha}\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\theta-1)^2 dx d\tau \\ &\leq C(T) \|v^{1-\alpha}\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}} \|\theta^{1-\lambda}\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

where we have used the assumption that $\|\theta^{1-\lambda}\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}} > 1$ without lose of generality.

Combining Lemmas 2.3-2.4 and (2.30), we have

Corollary 2.1. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 2.2, there exists a positive constant $C_7(T)$ depending on $T, \alpha, \beta, \underline{V}, \bar{V}, \underline{\Theta}, \bar{\Theta}$ and $\|(v_0 - 1, v_{0x}, u_0, \theta_0 - 1)\|$ such that for $0 \leq t \leq T_1$, it holds*

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{v_x^2}{v^{2\alpha+2}} dx + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\theta v_x^2}{v^{\alpha+1}} dx d\tau + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\left(\frac{v_x}{v^{\frac{\alpha+\beta+6}{2}}} \right)_x \right]^2 dx d\tau \\ &\leq C_7(T) \left\{ 1 + \left(\|v^{-\alpha}\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}} + \|v^{\alpha-1}\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}} \right) \|\theta^{1-\lambda}\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}} \right\} \\ &\quad + C_7(T) \begin{cases} 0, & if\ \alpha = f(\beta)\ and\ -5 \leq \beta \leq -2, \\ \left\| \frac{1}{v} \right\|^{\alpha-2\beta-5}_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}}, & if\ \alpha \geq -1\ and\ \beta < -3, \\ \|v\|^{-\alpha+2\beta+5}_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}}, & if\ \alpha \leq -1\ and\ \beta \geq -2, \\ \|v\|^{2\beta+6}_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}}, & if\ \alpha > -1\ and\ \beta \geq -2, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(u^2+\frac{v_x^2}{v^{\beta+5}}\right)dx+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{u_x^2}{v^{\alpha+1}}dxd\tau\\&\leq C_7(T)\left(\|(v_0-1,v_{0x},u_0,\theta_0-1)\|^2+\|v^{\alpha-1}\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}}\|\theta^{1-\lambda}\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}}\right).\end{aligned}\tag{2.32}$$

Now we use Kanel's method again to show the following lemma.

Lemma 2.6 (Lower and upper bounds of $v(t,x)$ for the cases (i)-(iii) and (v) of Theorem 1.1)**.** *Under the assumptions of Proposition 2.2, if the constants α,β satisfy the condition (i), then it holds*

$$\|v\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}}\leq C_8(T)(1+\|\theta^{1-\lambda}\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}});\tag{2.33}$$

if the constants α,β satisfy the condition (ii) or (iii), then

$$\|v\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}}\leq C_9(T)(1+\|\theta^{1-\lambda}\|^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}});\tag{2.34}$$

and if the constants α,β satisfy the condition (v), then

$$\left\|\frac{1}{v}\right\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}}\leq C_{10}(T)(1+\|\theta^{1-\lambda}\|^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}}),\tag{2.35}$$

where $C_i(T), i=8,9,10$ are some positive constants depending only on $T,\alpha,\beta,\underline{V},\bar{V},\underline{\Theta},\bar{\Theta}$ and $\|(v_0-1,v_{0x},u_0,\theta_0-1)\|$.

Proof. Let

$$\Phi(v)=\int_1^v\frac{\sqrt{\phi(z)}}{z^{\alpha+1}}dz,\tag{2.36}$$

then there exist two positive constants A_1,A_2 such that

$$|\Phi(v)|\geq\begin{cases}A_1v^{-\alpha}-A_2, & as\ v\to 0,\quad if\ \alpha>0,\\ A_1v^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}-A_2, & as\ v\to+\infty,\quad if\ \alpha<\frac{1}{2}.\end{cases}\tag{2.37}$$

For the case (i): $\alpha=0$ and $\beta=-2$, we have $v(t,x)\geq C_6^{-1}$ for all $(t,x)\in[0,T_1]\times\mathbb{R}$ by Remark 2.1. Now we show the upper bound of $v(t,x)$. We deduce from $(2.37)_2$, $(2.31)_1$ with $\alpha=0,\beta=-2$, and Lemma 2.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}v^{\frac{1}{2}}&\leq C+C\left|\Phi(v)\right|\\&\leq C+C\left|\int_{-\infty}^x\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\Phi(v(t,y))dy\right|\\&\leq C+C\left\|\sqrt{\phi(v)}\right\|\left\|\frac{v_x}{v}\right\|\\&\leq C+C(T)\|(v_0-1,v_{0x},u_0,\theta_0-1)\|\left(1+\|\theta^{1-\lambda}\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}}\right),\end{aligned}\tag{2.38}$$

which implies that

$$\|v\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}}\leq C_8(T)\left(1+\|\theta^{1-\lambda}\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}}\right).\tag{2.39}$$

Then (2.33) follows from (2.39) immediately.

For the case (ii): $\alpha < -2\beta - 4$ and $\beta \geq -\frac{3}{2}$, it holds that $\alpha < -1$ and $v(t,x) \geq C_6^{-1}$ for all $(t,x) \in [0,T_1] \times \mathbb{R}$ by Remark 2.1. On the other hand, it follows from $(2.37)_2$, $(2.31)_2$, Lemma 2.1 and the Young inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} v^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} &\leq C + C\left|\Phi(v)\right| \leq C + C\left|\int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\Phi(v(t,y))dy\right| \\ &\leq C + C\left\|\sqrt{\phi(v)}\right\| \left\|\frac{v_x}{v^{\alpha+1}}\right\| \\ &\leq C + C(T)\|(v_0-1, v_{0x}, u_0, \theta_0 - 1)\| \left\{1 + \|v\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}}^{\frac{-\alpha+2\beta+5}{2}}\right. \\ &\quad \left. + (\|v\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}}^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} + C_6^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}})\|\theta^{1-\lambda}\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\} \\ &\leq \eta \|v\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}}^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} + C(\eta,T)\left(1 + \|\theta^{1-\lambda}\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}}^{\frac{1-2\alpha}{2(1-\alpha)}}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.40}$$

provided that $\alpha < -2\beta - 4$ and $\alpha < 0$. Then we can get (2.34) from (2.40) and the smallness of η.

For the case (iii): $\alpha < -\beta - \frac{5}{2}$ and $-2 \leq \beta < -\frac{3}{2}$, it follows that $-1 < -\beta - \frac{5}{2} \leq -\frac{1}{2}$ and $v(t,x) \geq C_6^{-1}$ for all $(t,x) \in [0,T_1] \times \mathbb{R}$ by Remark 2.1. To show the upper bound of $v(t,x)$, we divide this case into the following two subcases:

Subcase $(iii)_1$: $\alpha \leq -1$ and $-2 \leq \beta < -\frac{3}{2}$. For this subcase, it holds that $\alpha < -2\beta - 4$. Then similar to the case (ii), we see that (2.34) holds for this subcase.

Subcase $(iii)_2$: $-1 < \alpha < -\beta - \frac{5}{2}$ and $-2 \leq \beta < -\frac{3}{2}$. For this subcase, similar to (2.40), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} v^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} &\leq C(T)\left\{\|(v_0-1, v_{0x}, u_0, \theta_0-1)\| + 1 + \|v\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}}^{\beta+3}\right. \\ &\quad \left. + (\|v\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}}^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} + C_6^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}})\|\theta^{1-\lambda}\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\} \\ &\leq \eta \|v\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}}^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} + C(\eta,T)\left(1 + \|\theta^{1-\lambda}\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}}^{\frac{1-2\alpha}{2(1-\alpha)}}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.41}$$

provided that $\alpha < -\beta - \frac{5}{2}$ and $\alpha < 0$, then (2.41) together with the smallness of η leads to (2.34).

Finally, for the case (v): $\alpha > -2\beta - 5$ and $\beta < -3$, it follows that $\alpha > 1$ and $v(t,x) \leq C_6$ for all $(t,x) \in [0,T_1] \times \mathbb{R}$ by Remark 2.1. Moreover, similar to (2.40), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{v^\alpha} &\leq C(T)\left\{\|(v_0-1, v_{0x}, u_0, \theta_0-1)\| + 1 + \left\|\frac{1}{v}\right\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}}^{\frac{\alpha-2\beta-5}{2}}\right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\left\|\frac{1}{v}\right\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} + C_4^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}}\right)\|\theta^{1-\lambda}\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\} \\ &\leq \eta \left\|\frac{1}{v}\right\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}}^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} + C(\eta,T)\left(1 + \|\theta^{1-\lambda}\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{2.42}$$

provided that $\alpha > -2\beta - 5$ and $\alpha > 0$. Then (2.35) follows from (2.42) and the smallness of η immediately. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.6.

The above Lemmas give the pointwise estimates on the specific volume $v(t,x)$. Now we turn to estimate the temperature $\theta(t,x)$. First of all, we have the following lower bound estimate on the temperature $\theta(t,x)$.

Lemma 2.7. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 2.2, it holds that*

$$\theta(t,x) \geq C(T)\frac{1}{\left(1+\|v^{\alpha-1}\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}}\right)}, \quad \forall\,(t,x)\in[0,T_1]\times\mathbb{R}. \tag{2.43}$$

Proof. Multiplying $(1.4)_3$ by $-\frac{1}{\theta^2}$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} C_v\left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right)_t &= \frac{1}{\theta^2}\left[p(v,\theta)u_x - \left(\frac{\theta^\lambda\theta_x}{v}\right)_x - \frac{u_x^2}{v^{\alpha+1}}\right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{\theta^2 v^{\alpha+1}}\left(u_x - \frac{R\theta v^\alpha}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{2\theta_x^2\theta^{\lambda-3}}{v} + \frac{R^2 v^{\alpha-1}}{4} + \left(\frac{\theta^\lambda}{v}\left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right)_x\right)_x. \end{aligned} \tag{2.44}$$

Let

$$\Theta(t,x) = \frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{R^2 t}{4C_v}\|v^{\alpha-1}\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}}, \tag{2.45}$$

then we have from (2.44) that

$$\begin{cases} C_v\Theta_t \leq \left(\dfrac{\theta^\lambda\Theta_x}{v}\right)_x, \\ \Theta(0,x) = \frac{1}{\theta_0} \leq \frac{1}{\underline{\Theta}}. \end{cases} \tag{2.46}$$

Using the maximum principle, we obtain

$$\Theta(t,x) \leq \frac{1}{\underline{\Theta}}, \quad \forall\,(t,x)\in[0,T_1]\times\mathbb{R}, \tag{2.47}$$

which implies (2.43). The proof of Lemma 2.7 is completed.

For the case (iv) of Theorem 1.1, we see from Lemmas 2.2 and 2.7 that the temperature $\theta(t,x)$ has a lower bound, i.e., there exits a positive constant $C_{11}(T)$ depending only on $T, \underline{V}, \bar{V}, \underline{\Theta}, \bar{\Theta}$ and $\|(v_0-1, v_{0x}, u_0, \theta_0-1)\|$ such that

$$\theta(t,x) \geq C_{11}^{-1}(T), \quad \forall\,(t,x)\in[0,T_1]\times\mathbb{R}. \tag{2.48}$$

Next, we prove the upper bound of $\theta(t,x)$ for the case (iv) of Theorem 1.1. For this purpose, we first show the following corollary.

Corollary 2.2. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 2.2, if the constants α, β satisfy the condition (iv) of Theorem 1.1, then there exit a constant $C_{12} > 0$ depending on $T, \underline{V}, \bar{V}, \underline{\Theta}, \bar{\Theta}$ and $\|(v_0-1, v_{0x}, u_0, \theta_0-1)\|$, and two constants $C_{13}, C_{14} > 0$ depending on $T, \alpha, \beta, \underline{V}, \bar{V}, \underline{\Theta}, \bar{\Theta}$ and $\|(v_0-1, v_{0x}, u_0, \theta_0-1)\|$ such that for $0 \leq t \leq T_1$,*

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\|\left(v-1, v_x, u, \sqrt{\phi(\theta)}\right)(t)\right\|^2 + \int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\theta^{\lambda-2}\theta_x^2 + \frac{u_x^2}{\theta}\right)dxd\tau \\ &\leq C_{12}\|(v_0-1, v_{0x}, u_0, \theta_0-1)\|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{2.49}$$

$$\|(v_x, u)(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t \|u_x(\tau)\|^2 d\tau \leq C_{13}(T)\left(\|(v_0-1, v_{0x}, u_0, \theta_0-1)\|^2 + 1\right), \tag{2.50}$$

$$\|v_x(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t \|v_x(\tau)\|_1^2 d\tau \leq C_{14}(T)\left(\|(v_0-1, v_{0x}, u_0, \theta_0-1)\|^2 + 1\right). \tag{2.51}$$

Proof. (2.49) is a direct consequence of Lemmas 2.1-2.2. (2.50) can be obtained from Lemma 2.2 , (2.32), (2.48) and the assumption that $\lambda \geq 1$.

For (2.51), notice that

$$\left[\left(\frac{v_x}{v^{\frac{\alpha+\beta+6}{2}}}\right)_x\right]^2 = \frac{v_{xx}^2}{v^{\alpha+\beta+6}} - (\alpha+\beta+6)\frac{v_x^2 v_{xx}}{v^{\alpha+\beta+7}} + \frac{(\alpha+\beta+6)^2}{4}\frac{v_x^4}{v^{\alpha+\beta+8}}, \tag{2.52}$$

then it follows from (2.31), (2.52) and Lemma 2.2 that

$$\begin{aligned}\|v_x(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t \|v_x(\tau)\|_1^2 d\tau \leq C(T)\left(\|(v_0-1, v_{0x}, u_0, \theta_0-1)\|^2 + \|\theta^{1-\lambda}\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}}\right)\\ + C(T)\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}} v_x^4 dx d\tau.\end{aligned} \tag{2.53}$$

Using the Sobolev inequality, the Cauchy inequality and (2.49), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}} v_x^4 dx d\tau &\leq \int_0^t \|v_x(\tau)\|^3 \|v_{xx}(\tau)\| d\tau\\ &\leq \eta\int_0^t \|v_{xx}(\tau)\|^2 d\tau + C_\eta \int_0^t \sup_{0\leq\tau\leq t}\left\{\|v_x(\tau)\|^6\right\} d\tau\\ &\leq \eta\int_0^t \|v_{xx}(\tau)\|^2 d\tau + C(\eta, T).\end{aligned} \tag{2.54}$$

Combining (2.53)-(2.54), then we can get (2.51) by (2.48), the assumption that $\lambda \geq 1$ and the smallness of η. This completes the proof of Corollary 2.2.

For the estimate on $\|u_x(t)\|$, we have

Lemma 2.8. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 2.2, if the constants α, β satisfy the condition (iv) of Theorem 1.1, then there exits a constant $C_{15}(T) > 0$ depending only on $T, \alpha, \beta, \lambda, \underline{V}, \bar{V}, \underline{\Theta}, \bar{\Theta}$, $\|v_0 - 1\|_2$, $\|u_0\|_1$ and $\|\theta_0 - 1\|$ such that for $0 \leq t \leq T_1$,*

$$\|(u_x, v_{xx})(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t \|u_{xx}(\tau)\|^2 d\tau \leq C_{15}(T)\left(1 + \int_0^t \|\theta_x(\tau)\|^2 d\tau\right). \tag{2.55}$$

Proof. Multiplying $(1.4)_2$ by $-u_{xx}$ and using equation $(1.4)_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{u_x^2}{2} + \frac{v_{xx}^2}{2v^{\beta+5}}\right)_t + \frac{u_{xx}^2}{v^{\alpha+1}} = R\left(\frac{\theta_x}{v} - \frac{\theta v_x}{v^2}\right)u_{xx} + (\alpha+1)\frac{u_x v_x u_{xx}}{v^{\alpha+2}} - (\beta+5)\frac{v_{xx}^2 u_x}{2v^{\beta+6}}\\ +(\beta+5)\frac{v_x v_{xx} u_{xx}}{v^{\beta+6}} - (\beta+5)(\beta+6)\frac{v_x^3 u_{xx}}{2v^{\beta+7}} + \{\cdots\}_x.\end{aligned} \tag{2.56}$$

Integrating (2.56) with respect to t and x over $[0,t]\times\mathbb{R}$, we have from Lemma 2.2 that

$$\|(u_x, v_{xx})(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t \|u_{xx}(\tau)\|^2 d\tau \leq C(T)\left(\|(v_{0xx}, u_{0x})\|^2 + I_5 + I_6\right), \tag{2.57}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}I_5 &= \int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{\left|v_{xx}^2 u_x\right| + |\theta_x u_{xx}| + |\theta v_x u_{xx}|\right\} dx d\tau,\\ I_6 &= \int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{|v_x v_{xx} u_{xx}| + |u_x v_x u_{xx}| + \left|v_x^3 u_{xx}\right|\right\} dx d\tau.\end{aligned}$$

It follows from the Sobolev inequality, the Cauchy inequality and Corollary 2.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} I_5 \le & \int_0^t \left\{ \|u_x(\tau)\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u_{xx}(\tau)\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|v_{xx}(\tau)\|^2 + \|u_{xx}(\tau)\| \|\theta_x(\tau)\| \right\} d\tau \\ & + \eta \int_0^t \|u_{xx}(\tau)\|^2 d\tau + C_\eta \int_0^t \|\theta(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}^2 \sup_{0\le\tau\le t} \{\|v_x(\tau)\|\}^2 d\tau \\ \le & 3\eta \int_0^t \|u_{xx}(\tau)\|^2 d\tau + C_\eta \int_0^t \left\{ \|u_x(\tau)\|^{\frac{2}{3}} \|v_{xx}(\tau)\|^{\frac{8}{3}} + \|\theta_x(\tau)\|^2 + \|\theta(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}^2 \right\} d\tau \\ \le & 3\eta \int_0^t \|u_{xx}(\tau)\|^2 d\tau + C(\eta, T) \left\{ \int_0^t \left(\|v_{xx}(\tau)\|^4 + \|\theta_x(\tau)\|^2 \right) d\tau + 1 \right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.58}$$

where we have used the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \|\theta(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}^2 d\tau \le & \int_0^t \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{1}{\theta(\tau,x)} \right)^{\lambda-1} \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |\theta(\tau,x)|^{\lambda+1} d\tau \\ \le & (C_{11}(T))^{\lambda-1} \int_0^t \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |\theta(\tau,x)|^{\lambda+1} d\tau \\ \le & C(T)(1 + \|v\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}}) \le C(T), \end{aligned} \tag{2.59}$$

due to lemmas 2.2 and 2.5, (2.48) and the assumption that $\lambda \ge 1$.

Similar to (2.58), I_6 can be controlled by

$$\begin{aligned} I_6 \ \le & \eta \int_0^t \|u_{xx}(\tau)\|^2 d\tau + C_\eta \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(|u_x v_x|^2 + |v_x v_{xx}|^2 + |v_x|^6 \right) dx d\tau \\ \le & \eta \int_0^t \|u_{xx}(\tau)\|^2 d\tau + C_\eta \int_0^t \left(\|u_x(\tau)\| \|u_{xx}(\tau)\| \|v_x(\tau)\|^2 \right. \\ & \left. + \|v_x(\tau)\| \|v_{xx}(\tau)\|^3 + \|v_x(\tau)\|^4 \|v_{xx}(\tau)\|^2 \right) d\tau \\ \le & 2\eta \int_0^t \|u_{xx}(\tau)\|^2 d\tau + C_\eta \int_0^t \left(\|v_x(\tau)\|_1^2 + 1 \right) \|v_{xx}(\tau)\|^2 d\tau + C(\eta, T). \end{aligned} \tag{2.60}$$

Substituting (2.58) and (2.60) into (2.57), then (2.55) follows from Gronwall's inequality, Corollary 2.2, and the smallness of η. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.8.

The next lemma gives the estimate on $\int_0^t \|\theta_x(\tau)\|^2 d\tau$.

Lemma 2.9. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 2.2, if the constants α, β satisfy the condition (iv) of Theorem 1.1, then there exits a constant $C_{16}(T) > 0$ depending only on $T, \alpha, \beta, \lambda, \underline{V}, \bar{V}, \underline{\Theta}, \bar{\Theta}$, $\|v_0 - 1\|_2$, $\|u_0\|_1$, and $\|\theta_0 - 1\|$ such that for $0 \le t \le T_1$,*

$$\|(\theta - 1)(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t \|\theta_x(\tau)\|^2 d\tau \le C_{16}(T). \tag{2.61}$$

Proof. Multiplying $(1.4)_3$ by $\theta - 1$ and integrating the resulting equation with respect to t and x over $[0,t] \times \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{C_v}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\theta - 1)^2 dx + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\theta^\lambda \theta_x^2}{v} dx d\tau = & \frac{C_v}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\theta_0 - 1)^2 dx \underbrace{- \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} p u_x (\theta - 1) dx d\tau}_{I_7} \\ & + \underbrace{\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u_x^2}{v^{\alpha+1}} (\theta - 1) dx d\tau}_{I_8}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.62}$$

It follows from Lemma 2.2, (2.48) and the assumption $\lambda \geq 1$ that

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\theta^\lambda \theta_x^2}{v} dx d\tau \geq \frac{(\inf_{x\in\mathbb{R}} \theta)^\lambda}{\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} v} \int_0^t \|\theta_x(\tau)\|^2 d\tau \geq C(T) \int_0^t \|\theta_x(\tau)\|^2 d\tau. \tag{2.63}$$

Utilizing the Cauchy inequality, the Sobolev inequality, Corollary 2.2 and (2.59), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
I_7 &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{R\theta(\theta-1)}{v}\right)_x u dx d\tau \\
&= R\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{(2\theta-1)\theta_x}{v} - \frac{\theta(\theta-1)v_x}{v^2}\right) u dx d\tau \\
&\leq \eta \int_0^t \|\theta_x(\tau)\|^2 d\tau + C_\eta \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |2\theta-1|^2 |u|^2 dx d\tau + C_\eta \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\theta(\theta-1)uv_x| dx d\tau \\
&\leq \eta \int_0^t \|\theta_x(\tau)\|^2 d\tau + C_\eta \int_0^t \left(\|\theta(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + 1\right) \sup_{0\leq\tau\leq t} \{\|(u, v_x)(\tau)\|^2\} d\tau \\
&\leq \eta \int_0^t \|\theta_x(\tau)\|^2 d\tau + C(\eta, T),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.64}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_8 &= -\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} u u_x \left(\frac{\theta-1}{v^{\alpha+1}}\right)_x dx d\tau - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} u u_{xx} \frac{\theta-1}{v^{\alpha+1}} dx d\tau \\
&= -\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u u_x \theta_x}{v^{\alpha+1}} dx d\tau + (\alpha+1) \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u u_x v_x (\theta-1)}{v^{\alpha+2}} dx d\tau - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u u_{xx}(\theta-1)}{v^{\alpha+1}} dx d\tau \\
&\leq \eta \int_0^t \|(\theta_x, u_{xx})(\tau)\|^2 d\tau + C_\eta \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(u^2 u_x^2 + u_x^2 v_x^2 + u^2(\theta-1)^2\right) dx d\tau \\
&\leq \eta \int_0^t \|(\theta_x, u_{xx})(\tau)\|^2 d\tau + C_\eta \int_0^t \|u_x(\tau)\| \|u_{xx}(\tau)\| \sup_{0\leq\tau\leq t} \{\|u(\tau)\| + \|v_x(\tau)\|^2\} d\tau \\
&\quad + C_\eta \int_0^t \left(\|\theta(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + 1\right) \sup_{0\leq\tau\leq t} \{\|u(\tau)\|^2\} d\tau \\
&\leq 2\eta \int_0^t \|(\theta_x, u_{xx})(\tau)\|^2 d\tau + C_\eta \int_0^t \left(\|u_x(\tau)\|^2 + \|\theta(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}^2\right) d\tau + C(\eta, T) \\
&\leq 2\eta \int_0^t \|(\theta_x, u_{xx})(\tau)\|^2 d\tau + C(\eta, T).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.65}$$

Combining (2.62)-(2.65), then (2.61) follows from Lemma 2.8 and the smallness of η. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.9.

Now we give the upper bound of $\theta(t, x)$.

Lemma 2.10. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 2.2, if the constants α, β satisfy the condition (iv) of Theorem 1.1, then there exits a constant $C_{17}(T) > 0$ depending only on $T, \alpha, \beta, \lambda, \underline{V}, \bar{V}, \underline{\Theta}, \bar{\Theta}$, $\|v_0 - 1\|_2$, $\|u_0\|_2$ and $\|\theta_0 - 1\|$ such that*

$$\|\theta\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}} \leq C_{17}(T). \tag{2.66}$$

Proof. For any $q > 1$, multiplying $(1.4)_3$ by $2q(\theta-1)^{2q-1}$, and then integrating the resultant equation with respect to t and x over $[0,t]\times\mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &C_v\|(\theta-1)(t)\|_{L^{2q}}^{2q} + 2q(2q-1)\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}}(\theta-1)^{2p-1}\frac{\theta^\lambda\theta_x^2}{v}dxd\tau \\ &= C_v\|(\theta_0-1)(t)\|_{L^{2q}}^{2q} \underbrace{-2qR\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{\theta u_x}{v}(\theta-1)^{2q-1}dxd\tau}_{I_9} + \underbrace{2q\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{u_x^2}{v^{\alpha+1}}(\theta-1)^{2q-1}dxd\tau}_{I_{10}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.67}$$

The Hölder inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned} |I_9+I_{10}| &\le C\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(|\theta u_x|+u_x^2\right)|\theta-1|^{2q-1}dxd\tau \\ &\le C\int_0^t\|(\theta-1)(\tau)\|_{L^{2q}}^{2q-1}\left(\|\theta u_x(\tau)\|_{L^{2q}}+\|u_x^2(\tau)\|_{L^{2q}}\right)d\tau \\ &\le C\sup_{0\le\tau\le T_1}\{\|(\theta-1)(\tau)\|_{L^{2q}}^{2q-1}\}\int_0^t\left(\|\theta u_x(\tau)\|_{L^{2q}}+\|u_x^2(\tau)\|_{L^{2q}}\right)d\tau \end{aligned} \tag{2.68}$$

Combining (2.67)-(2.68) yields

$$\sup_{0\le\tau\le T_1}\{\|(\theta-1)(\tau)\|_{L^{2q}}\} \le C\int_0^t\left(\|\theta u_x(\tau)\|_{L^{2q}}+\|u_x^2(\tau)\|_{L^{2q}}\right)d\tau + C. \tag{2.69}$$

Letting $q\to+\infty$, we deduce from (2.69), (2.50), (2.59), the Sobolev inequality and Lemmas 2.8-2.9 that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\theta\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}} &\le C\int_0^t\left(\|\theta u_x(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}+\|u_x^2(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}\right)d\tau + C \\ &\le C\int_0^t\left(\|u_x(\tau)\|\|u_{xx}(\tau)\|+\|\theta(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}^2\right)d\tau + C \\ &\le C\int_0^t\left(\|u_x(\tau)\|_1^2+\|\theta(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}^2\right)d\tau + C \\ &\le C(T), \end{aligned} \tag{2.70}$$

which gives the desired estimate (2.66). This completes the proof of Lemma 2.10.

From Lemma 2.2, (2.48) and (2.66), we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.3 (Lower and upper bounds of v and θ for the case (iv) of Theorem 1.1)**.** *Under the assumptions of Proposition 2.2, if the constants α,β satisfy the condition (iv) of Theorem 1.1, then it holds*

$$C_6^{-1} \le v(t,x) \le C_6, \quad C_{11}^{-1}(T) \le \theta(t,x) \le C_{17}(T), \quad \forall\,(t,x)\in[0,T_1]\times\mathbb{R}. \tag{2.71}$$

where the constants $C_6, C_{11}^{-1}(T), C_{17}(T)$ are given in Lemma 2.2, (2.48) and (2.66), respectively.

Next, we use Lemmas 2.6-2.7 to show the following:

Lemma 2.11 (Lower and upper bounds of v and θ for the cases (i), (ii), (iii) and (v) of Theorem 1.1)**.** *Under the assumptions of Proposition 2.2, if the constants α,β satisfy the condition (i) or (ii), or (iii), or (v) of Theorem 1.1, then it holds*

$$C_{18}^{-1}(T) \le v(t,x) \le C_{18}(T), \quad C_{19}^{-1}(T) \le \theta(t,x) \le C_{19}(T), \quad \forall\,(t,x)\in[0,T_1]\times\mathbb{R}, \tag{2.72}$$

where $C_{18}(T), C_{19}(T)$ are some positive constants depending only on $T,\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\underline{V},\bar{V},\underline{\Theta},\bar{\Theta}$, $\|v_0-1\|_2$, $\|u_0\|_2$ and $\|\theta_0-1\|$.

Proof. For the case (i): $\alpha = 0, \beta = -2$, we have $v(t,x) \geq C_6^{-1}$ for all $(t,x) \in [0,T_1] \times \mathbb{R}$ by Remark 2.1. This together with Lemma 2.7 and the assumption $\alpha = 0$ implies that

$$\theta(t,x) \geq C_{20}^{-1}(T), \quad \forall\, (t,x) \in [0,T_1] \times \mathbb{R} \tag{2.73}$$

for some positive constant $C_{20}(T)$ depending only on $T, \underline{V}, \bar{V}, \underline{\Theta}, \bar{\Theta}$ and $\|(v_0 - 1, v_{0x}, u_0, \theta_0 - 1)\|$. Then it follows from (2.33), (2.73) and the assumption $\lambda \geq 1$ that $\|v\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}} \leq C_{21}(T)$ for some positive constant $C_{21}(T)$ depending only on $T, \alpha, \beta, \lambda, \underline{V}, \bar{V}, \underline{\Theta}, \bar{\Theta}$ and $\|(v_0 - 1, v_{0x}, u_0, \theta_0 - 1)\|$. Consequently, we have

$$C_6^{-1} \leq v(t,x) \leq C_{21}(T), \quad \forall\, (t,x) \in [0,T_1] \times \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.74}$$

With (2.73) and (2.74) in hand, some similar results as Corollary 2.2, Lemmas 2.8 and 2.9 hold. Then similar to Lemma 2.10, we can obtain

$$\|\theta\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}} \leq C_{22}(T) \tag{2.75}$$

for some constant $C_{22}(T) > 0$ depending only on $T, \alpha, \beta, \lambda, \underline{V}, \bar{V}, \underline{\Theta}, \bar{\Theta}$, $\|v_0 - 1\|_2$, $\|u_0\|_2$ and $\|\theta_0 - 1\|$.

For the cases (ii): $\alpha < -2\beta - 4, \beta \geq -\frac{3}{2}$ and (iii): $\alpha < -\beta - \frac{5}{2}, -2 \leq \beta < -\frac{3}{2}$, we have $\alpha < -\frac{1}{2}$ and $v(t,x) \geq C_6^{-1}$ for all $(t,x) \in [0,T_1] \times \mathbb{R}$ by Remark 2.1. Then we deduce from Lemma 2.7 that

$$\theta(t,x) \geq C_{23}^{-1}(T), \quad \forall\, (t,x) \in [0,T_1] \times \mathbb{R} \tag{2.76}$$

for some positive constant $C_{23}(T)$ depending only on $T, \underline{V}, \bar{V}, \underline{\Theta}, \bar{\Theta}$ and $\|(v_0 - 1, v_{0x}, u_0, \theta_0 - 1)\|$. (2.76) together with (2.34) and the assumption $\lambda \geq 1$ yields that $\|v\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}} \leq C_{24}(T)$ for some positive constant $C_{24}(T)$ depending only on $T, \alpha, \beta, \lambda, \underline{V}, \bar{V}, \underline{\Theta}, \bar{\Theta}$ and $\|(v_0 - 1, v_{0x}, u_0, \theta_0 - 1)\|$. Then we can prove some similar results as Corollary 2.2 and Lemmas 2.8 -2.10. Consequently, we obtain

$$\|\theta\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}} \leq C_{25}(T) \tag{2.77}$$

for some constant $C_{25}(T) > 0$ depending only on $T, \alpha, \beta, \lambda, \underline{V}, \bar{V}, \underline{\Theta}, \bar{\Theta}$, $\|v_0 - 1\|_2$, $\|u_0\|_2$ and $\|\theta_0 - 1\|$.

Finally, for the case (v): $\alpha > -2\beta - 5, \beta < -3$, it follows that $\alpha > 1$ and $v(t,x) \leq C_6$ for all $(t,x) \in [0,T_1] \times \mathbb{R}$ by Remark 2.1. Then in view of Lemma 2.7, we conclude

$$\theta(t,x) \geq C_{26}^{-1}(T), \quad \forall\, (t,x) \in [0,T_1] \times \mathbb{R} \tag{2.78}$$

for some positive constant $C_{26}(T)$ depending only on $T, \underline{V}, \bar{V}, \underline{\Theta}, \bar{\Theta}$ and $\|(v_0 - 1, v_{0x}, u_0, \theta_0 - 1)\|$. It follows from (2.78), (2.35) and the assumption $\lambda \geq 1$ that $\|\frac{1}{v}\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}} \leq C_{27}(T)$ for some positive constant $C_{27}(T)$ depending only on $T, \alpha, \beta, \lambda, \underline{V}, \bar{V}, \underline{\Theta}, \bar{\Theta}$ and $\|(v_0 - 1, v_{0x}, u_0, \theta_0 - 1)\|$. Then we can show some similar results as Corollary 2.2 and Lemmas 2.8-2.10. Thus we can obtain

$$\|\theta\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}} \leq C_{28}(T) \tag{2.79}$$

for some constant $C_{28}(T) > 0$ depending only on $T, \alpha, \beta, \lambda, \underline{V}, \bar{V}, \underline{\Theta}, \bar{\Theta}$, $\|v_0 - 1\|_2$, $\|u_0\|_2$ and $\|\theta_0 - 1\|$.

Letting $C_{18}(T) = \max\{C_6, C_{21}(T), C_{24}(T), C_{27}(T)\}$ and $C_{19}(T) = \max\{C_{20}(T), C_{22}(T), C_{23}(T), C_{25}(T), C_{26}(T), C_{28}(T)\}$, then we complete the proof of Lemma 2.11.

From the proof of Lemma 2.11, we see that some similar results as Corollary 2.2 and Lemmas 2.8-2.9 still hold for the cases (i), (ii), (iii) and (v) of Theorem 1.1. Thus by combining Corollary 2.2 and Lemmas 2.8-2.9, we have the following:

Corollary 2.4. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 2.2, there exists a positive constant $C_{29}(T)$ depending only on $T, \alpha, \beta, \underline{V}, \bar{V}, \underline{\Theta}, \bar{\Theta}$, $\|v_0 - 1\|_2$, $\|u_0\|_2$ and $\|\theta_0 - 1\|_1$ such that for $0 \le t \le T_1$,*

$$\|(v-1)(t)\|_2^2 + \|u(t)\|_1^2 + \|(\theta-1)(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t \|(v_x, v_{xx}, u_x, u_{xx}, \theta_x)(\tau)\|^2 d\tau \le C_{29}(T). \tag{2.80}$$

For the estimate on $\|\theta_x(t)\|$, we have

Lemma 2.12. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 2.2, there exists a positive constant $C_{30}(T)$ depending only on $T, \alpha, \beta, \underline{V}, \bar{V}, \underline{\Theta}, \bar{\Theta}$, $\|v_0 - 1\|_2$, $\|u_0\|_1$ and $\|\theta_0 - 1\|_1$ such that for $0 \le t \le T_1$,*

$$\|\theta_x(t)\| + \int_0^t \|\theta_{xx}(\tau)\|^2 d\tau \le C_{30}(T). \tag{2.81}$$

Proof. Multiplying (2.78) by θ^λ, we have

$$C_v G(\theta)_t + p(v,\theta) u_x \theta^\lambda = \left(\frac{G(\theta)_x}{v}\right)_x \theta^\lambda + \frac{u_x^2}{v^{\alpha+1}} \theta^\lambda \tag{2.82}$$

with $G(\theta) = \theta^{\lambda+1}$. Differentiating (2.82) once with respect to x and multiplying the resultant equation by $G(\theta)_x$, and then integrating the equation over $[0,t] \times \mathbb{R}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{C_v}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} [G(\theta)_x]^2 \, dx + \underbrace{\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(p(v,\theta) u_x \theta^\lambda\right)_x G(\theta)_x dx d\tau}_{I_{11}} \\ =&\frac{C_v}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} [G(\theta_0)_x]^2 \, dx + \underbrace{\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\theta^\lambda \left(\frac{G(\theta)_x}{v}\right)_x\right]_x G(\theta)_x dx d\tau}_{I_{12}} + \underbrace{\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\theta^\lambda \frac{u_x^2}{v^{\alpha+1}}\right]_x G(\theta)_x dx d\tau}_{I_{13}} \end{aligned} \tag{2.83}$$

Using integration by parts, the Cauchy inequality and (2.71)-(2.72), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{11} &= -\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(v,\theta) \theta^\lambda \left[\left(\frac{G(\theta)_x}{v}\right)_x v + \frac{G(\theta)_x}{v} v_x\right] dx d\tau \\ &\le \eta \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} v \theta^\lambda \left[\left(\frac{G(\theta)_x}{v}\right)_x\right]^2 dx d\tau + C_\eta \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(|u_x|^2 + |\theta_x v_x|^2\right) dx d\tau, \end{aligned} \tag{2.84}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_{12} &= -\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \theta^\lambda \left(\frac{G(\theta)_x}{v}\right)_x \left[\left(\frac{G(\theta)_x}{v}\right)_x v + \frac{G(\theta)_x}{v} v_x\right] dx d\tau \\ &= -\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} v \theta^\lambda \left[\left(\frac{G(\theta)_x}{v}\right)_x\right]^2 dx d\tau - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \theta^\lambda \frac{G(\theta)_x v_x}{v} \left(\frac{G(\theta)_x}{v}\right)_x dx d\tau \\ &\le -\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} v \theta^\lambda \left[\left(\frac{G(\theta)_x}{v}\right)_x\right]^2 dx d\tau + C_\eta \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\theta_x v_x|^2 dx d\tau, \end{aligned} \tag{2.85}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_{13} &= -\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \theta^\lambda \frac{u_x^2}{v^{\alpha+1}} \left[\left(\frac{G(\theta)_x}{v}\right)_x v + \frac{G(\theta)_x}{v} v_x\right] dx d\tau \\ &\le \eta \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} v \theta^\lambda \left[\left(\frac{G(\theta)_x}{v}\right)_x\right]^2 dx d\tau + C_\eta \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(u_x^4 + |\theta_x v_x|^2\right) dx d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{2.86}$$

Putting (2.83)-(2.85) into (2.82), we get by the smallness of η that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}}[G(\theta)_x]^2\,dx+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}}v\theta^\lambda\left[\left(\frac{G(\theta)_x}{v}\right)_x\right]^2dxd\tau\\=&C\int_{\mathbb{R}}[G(\theta_0)_x]^2\,dx+C\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(u_x^2+u_x^4+|\theta_xv_x|^2\right)dxd\tau.\end{aligned}\tag{2.87}$$

It follows from Corollary 2.4 and the Sobolev inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(u_x^2+u_x^4+|\theta_xv_x|^2\right)dxd\tau\\&\le C(T)+\int_0^t\left(\sup_{0\le\tau\le t}\{\|u_x(\tau)\|^2\}\|u_x(\tau)\|\|u_{xx}(\tau)\|+\sup_{0\le\tau\le t}\{\|v_x(\tau)\|_1^2\}\|\theta_x(\tau)\|^2\right)dt\\&\le C(T)+C(T)\int_0^t\left(\|u_x(\tau)\|_1^2+\|\theta_x(\tau)\|^2\right)dt\le C(T).\end{aligned}\tag{2.88}$$

Combining (2.87)-(2.88), then it follows from Corollary 2.3 and Lemma 2.11 that

$$\|\theta_x(t)\|^2+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[\left(\frac{G(\theta)_x}{v}\right)_x\right]^2dxd\tau\le C(T)\tag{2.89}$$

Moreover, we deduce from (2.89), (2.88), (2.80), the Cauchy inequality and the Sobolev inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\theta_x(t)\|^2+\int_0^t\|\theta_{xx}(\tau)\|^2d\tau\\&\le C(T)\left\{1+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(|\theta_x|^4+|\theta_xv_x|^2+|\theta_{xx}\theta_x^2|+|\theta_{xx}\theta_xv_x|\right)dt\right\}\\&\le\eta\int_0^t\|\theta_{xx}(\tau)\|^2d\tau+C(\eta,T)\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(|\theta_x|^4+|\theta_xv_x|^2\right)dxdt+C(T)\\&\le\eta\int_0^t\|\theta_{xx}(\tau)\|^2d\tau+C(\eta,T)\left(\int_0^t\|\theta_x(\tau)\|^3\|\theta_{xx}(\tau)\|dt+1\right)\\&\le 2\eta\int_0^t\|\theta_{xx}(\tau)\|^2d\tau+C(\eta,T)\left(\int_0^t\sup_{0\le\tau\le t}\{\|\theta_x(\tau)\|^4\|\}\|\theta_x(\tau)\|^2dt+1\right)\\&\le 2\eta\int_0^t\|\theta_{xx}(\tau)\|^2d\tau+C(\eta,T),\end{aligned}\tag{2.90}$$

which, together with the smallness of η leads to (2.81) immediately. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.12.

Similarly, we can also obtain

Lemma 2.13. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 2.2, there exists a positive constant $C_{31}(T)$ depending only on $T,\alpha,\beta,\underline{V},\bar{V},\underline{\Theta},\bar{\Theta}$, $\|v_0-1\|_4$, $\|u_0\|_3$ and $\|\theta_0-1\|_3$ such that for $0\le t\le T_1$,*

$$\|v_{xx}(t)\|_2^2+\|(u_{xx},\theta_{xx})(t)\|_1^2+\int_0^t\left(\|v_{xx}(\tau)\|_3^2+\|(u_{xxx},\theta_{xxx})(\tau)\|_1^2\right)d\tau\le C_{31}(T).\tag{2.91}$$

Proof of Proposition 2.2. Proposition 2.2 follows immediately from Corollary 2.4 and Lemmas 2.12-2.13.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

This section is devoted to proving Theorem 1.2. First, the local existence of the Cauchy problem (1.4)-(1.5) has been given in Proposition 2.1. Suppose the local solution $(v,u,\theta)(t,x)$ has been extended to time $t=T_1\geq t_1(t_1$ is given in Proposition 2.1), and satisfies the following a priori assumption:

$$\frac{1}{2}\underline{\Theta}\leq\theta(t,x)\leq 2\bar{\Theta},\quad \forall\,(t,x)\in[0,T_1]\times\mathbb{R}. \tag{3.1}$$

Here and to the end of this subsection, we assume that $0<\underline{\Theta}<1<\bar{\Theta}$ without loss of generality. Under the priori assumption (3.1), if we can show that the specific volume $v(t,x)$ has uniform-in-time lower and upper bounds which are independent of $\gamma-1$, and some uniform-in-time, $\gamma-1$ independent energy estimates on $(v,u,\theta)(t,x)$, then we can close (3.1) by using the smallness of $\gamma-1$. Then as usual, Theorem 1.2 can be obtained by combining the local existence result and the energy estimates. More precisely, we will prove the following:

Proposition 3.1 (A priori estimates)**.** *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2, suppose that* $(v-1,u,\theta-1)(t,x)\in X(0,T_1;V_0,V_1;\frac{\underline{\Theta}}{2},2\bar{\Theta})$ *is a solution of the Cauchy problem (1.4)-(1.5) for some positive constants* T_1,V_0 *and* V_1*. Then there exist a constant* $C_4>0$ *depending only on* $\lambda,\underline{V},\bar{V},\underline{\Theta},\bar{\Theta}$ *and* $\|(v_0-1,v_{0x},u_0,\frac{\theta_0-1}{\sqrt{\gamma-1}})\|$ *and a constant* $C_5>0$ *depending only on* $\lambda,\underline{V},\bar{V},\bar{\Theta},\underline{\Theta},\|v_0-1\|_4$ *and* $\left\|\left(u_0,\frac{\theta_0-1}{\sqrt{\gamma-1}}\right)\right\|_3$ *such that the following two estimates hold:*

$$C_4^{-1}\leq v(t,x)\leq C_4,\quad \underline{\Theta}\leq\theta(t,x)\leq\bar{\Theta},\quad \forall\,(t,x)\in[0,T_1]\times\mathbb{R}, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\|(v-1)(t)\|_4^2+\left\|\left(u,\frac{\theta-1}{\sqrt{\gamma-1}}\right)(t)\right\|_3^2+\int_0^t\left(\|v_x(s)\|_4^2+\|(u_x,\theta_x)(s)\|_3^2\right)ds\\&\leq C_5\left(\|(v_0-1)(t)\|_4^2+\left\|\left(u_0,\frac{\theta_0-1}{\sqrt{\gamma-1}}\right)\right\|_3^2\right),\quad \forall\,t\in[0,T_1].\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Proposition 3.1 can be obtained by a series of lemmas below. First, notice that Lemmas 2.1-2.2 and Remark 2.1 still hold under the assumptions of Proposition 3.1, thus by combining Lemma 2.1 and the a priori assumption (3.1), we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1 (Basic energy estimates)**.** *Under the assumptions of Proposition 3.1, there exists a positive constant* C_{32} *depending only on* $\lambda,\underline{V},\bar{V},\underline{\Theta}$ *and* $\bar{\Theta}$ *such that*

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(R\phi(v)+\frac{u^2}{2}+\frac{R}{\gamma-1}\phi(\theta)\right)dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{v_x^2}{v^{\beta+5}}dx+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{\theta_x^2}{v}+\frac{u_x^2}{v^{\alpha+1}}\right)dxd\tau\\&\leq C_{32}\left\|\left(v_0-1,u_0,\frac{\theta_0-1}{\sqrt{\gamma-1}},v_{0x}\right)\right\|^2\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

for all $t\in[0,T_1]$*, where the function* $\phi(x):=x-1-\ln x$*.*

Similar to Lemma 2.2, we have

Lemma 3.2. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 3.1, there exists a positive constant* C_{33} *depending only on* $\lambda,\underline{V},\bar{V},\underline{\Theta},\bar{\Theta}$ *and* $\|(v_0-1,v_{0x},u_0,\frac{\theta_0-1}{\sqrt{\gamma-1}})\|$ *such that*

$$C_{33}^{-1}\leq v(t,x)\leq C_{33} \tag{3.5}$$

for all $(t,x)\in[0,T_1]\times\mathbb{R}$*.*

Proof. For the case (a) of Theorem 1.2, i.e., $\alpha = 0, \beta = -2$, we have $g(0,-2) = 0$, where the function $g(\alpha,\beta)$ is defined in (2.15). Then it follows from (2.16) for $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = -2$ that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{v_x^2}{v^2}dx + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{R\theta v_x^2}{v}dxd\tau + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Big[\Big(\frac{v_x}{v^2}\Big)_x\Big]^2 dxd\tau \\ &\le C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{v_{0x}^2}{v_0^2}dx + \|u(t)\|^2 + \|u_0\|^2\right) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u_x^2}{v}dxd\tau + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{R\theta_x v_x}{v^{\alpha+2}}dxd\tau, \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Utilizing the Cauchy inequality, the a priori assumption (3.1) and Lemma 3.1 with $\alpha = 0$, we infer

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{R\theta_x v_x}{v^2}dxd\tau &\le \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{R\theta v_x^2}{v}dxd\tau + C\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\theta_x^2}{\theta v}dxd\tau \\ &\le \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{R\theta v_x^2}{v}dxd\tau + C(\underline{\Theta})\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\theta_x^2}{v}dxd\tau \\ &\le \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{R\theta v_x^2}{v}dxd\tau + C(\lambda,\underline{V},\bar{V},\underline{\Theta},\bar{\Theta})\left\|\left(v_0-1,u_0,\frac{\theta_0-1}{\sqrt{\gamma-1}},v_{0x}\right)\right\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Inserting (3.7) into (3.6), we get from (3.1) and Lemma 3.1 that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{v_x^2}{v^2}dx + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{v_x^2}{v} + \Big[\Big(\frac{v_x}{v^2}\Big)_x\Big]^2\right)dxd\tau \le C(\lambda,\underline{V},\bar{V},\underline{\Theta},\bar{\Theta})\left\|\left(v_0-1,u_0,\frac{\theta_0-1}{\sqrt{\gamma-1}},v_{0x}\right)\right\|^2 \tag{3.8}$$

By Remark 2.1, $v(t,x) \ge C_6^{-1}$ for the case (a) of Theorem 2.1. Now we prove the upper bound of $v(t,x)$. Similar to (2.38), we derive from Lemma 3.1 and (3.8) that

$$\begin{aligned}v^{\frac{1}{2}} &\le C + C\left\|\sqrt{\phi(v)}\right\|\left\|\frac{v_x}{v}\right\| \\ &\le C + C(\lambda,\underline{V},\bar{V},\underline{\Theta},\bar{\Theta})\left\|\left(v_0-1,u_0,\frac{\theta_0-1}{\sqrt{\gamma-1}},v_{0x}\right)\right\|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

which implies that

$$\|v\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}} \le C(\lambda,\underline{V},\bar{V},\underline{\Theta},\bar{\Theta})\left\|\left(v_0-1,u_0,\frac{\theta_0-1}{\sqrt{\gamma-1}},v_{0x}\right)\right\|^4 := C_{34}. \tag{3.10}$$

For the case (b) of Theorem 1.2, i.e., $\beta = 2\alpha - 3, -3 \le \beta < -2$, we have Lemma 2.2 holds. Therefore, we finish the proof of Lemma 3.2 by letting $C_{33} = \max\{C_6, C_{34}\}$.

As a consequence of Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, we have

Corollary 3.1. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 3.1, there exists a positive constant C_{35} depending only on $\lambda,\underline{V},\bar{V},\underline{\Theta},\bar{\Theta}$ and $\|(v_0-1,v_{0x},u_0,\frac{\theta_0-1}{\sqrt{\gamma-1}})\|$ such that*

$$\left\|\left(v-1,u,\frac{\theta-1}{\sqrt{\gamma-1}},v_x\right)(t)\right\|^2 + \int_0^t \|(u_x,\theta_x)(\tau)\|^2 d\tau \le C_{35}\left\|\left(v_0-1,u_0,\frac{\theta_0-1}{\sqrt{\gamma-1}},v_{0x}\right)\right\|^2 \tag{3.11}$$

for all $(t,x) \in [0,T_1]\times\mathbb{R}$.

Next, we deduce an estimate on $\displaystyle\int_0^t \|v_x(\tau)\|_1^2 d\tau$.

Lemma 3.3. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 3.1, there exists a positive constant C_{36} depending only on $\lambda, \underline{V}, \bar{V}, \underline{\Theta}, \bar{\Theta}$ and $\|(v_0-1, v_{0x}, u_0, \frac{\theta_0-1}{\sqrt{\gamma-1}})\|$ such that*

$$\|v_x(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t \|v_x(\tau)\|_1^2 d\tau \le C_{36} \left\| \left(v_0 - 1, u_0, \frac{\theta_0 - 1}{\sqrt{\gamma - 1}}, v_{0x} \right) \right\|^6 \tag{3.12}$$

for all $(t,x) \in [0,T_1] \times \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. If the constants α, β satisfy the condition (a): $\alpha = 0, \beta = -2$, then we have from (3.8) and Lemma 3.2 that

$$\|v_x(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t \|v_x(\tau)\|^2 d\tau \le C(\lambda, \underline{V}, \bar{V}, \underline{\Theta}, \bar{\Theta}) \left\| \left(v_0 - 1, u_0, \frac{\theta_0 - 1}{\sqrt{\gamma - 1}}, v_{0x} \right) \right\|^2. \tag{3.13}$$

On the other hand, (3.8) and Lemma 3.2 also imply that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|v_x(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t \|v_x(\tau)\|_1^2 d\tau \\ &\le C(\lambda, \underline{V}, \bar{V}, \underline{\Theta}, \bar{\Theta}) \left(\left\| \left(v_0 - 1, u_0, \frac{\theta_0 - 1}{\sqrt{\gamma - 1}}, v_{0x} \right) \right\|^2 + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(v_x^4 + |v_{xx} v_x^2| \right) dx d\tau \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

It follows from the Cauchy inequality and (3.13) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(v_x^4 + |v_{xx} v_x^2| \right) dx d\tau &\le \eta \int_0^t \|v_{xx}(\tau)\|^2 d\tau + C_\eta \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_x^4 dx d\tau \\ &\le \frac{\eta}{2} \int_0^t \|v_{xx}(\tau)\|^2 d\tau + C_\eta \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|v_x(\tau)\|^3 \|v_{xx}(\tau)\| dx d\tau \\ &\le \eta \int_0^t \|v_{xx}(\tau)\|^2 d\tau + C_\eta \int_0^t \sup_{0 \le \tau \le t} \{\|v_x(\tau)\|^4\} \|v_x(\tau)\|^2 dx d\tau \\ &\le \eta \int_0^t \|v_{xx}(\tau)\|^2 d\tau + C_\eta \left\| \left(v_0 - 1, u_0, \frac{\theta_0 - 1}{\sqrt{\gamma - 1}}, v_{0x} \right) \right\|^6. \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Combining (3.14)-(3.15), and using the smallness of η, we have

$$\|v_x(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t \|v_x(\tau)\|_1^2 d\tau \le C_{37} \left\| \left(v_0 - 1, u_0, \frac{\theta_0 - 1}{\sqrt{\gamma - 1}}, v_{0x} \right) \right\|^6, \tag{3.16}$$

where C_{37} is a positive constant depending only on $\lambda, \underline{V}, \bar{V}, \underline{\Theta}, \bar{\Theta}$ and $\|(v_0 - 1, v_{0x}, u_0, \theta_0 - 1)\|$.

If α, β satisfy the condition (b): $\beta = 2\alpha - 3$, $-3 \le \beta < -2$, then we get by integrating (2.13) with respect to t and x over $[0,t] \times \mathbb{R}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{v_x^2}{v^{2\alpha+2}} dx + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{R\theta v_x^2}{v^{\alpha+1}} dx d\tau + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\left(\frac{v_x}{v^{\frac{\alpha+\beta+6}{2}}} \right)_x \right]^2 dx d\tau \\ &\le C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{v_{0x}^2}{v_0^{2\alpha+2}} dx + \|u(t)\|^2 + \|u_0\|^2 \right) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u_x^2}{v^{\alpha+1}} dx d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{ \frac{v_{xx}}{v^{\beta+5}} - \frac{\beta+5}{2} \frac{v_x^2}{v^{\beta+6}} \right\}_x \frac{v_x^2}{v^{\alpha+1}} dx d\tau, \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

where we have used the fact that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{uv_x}{v^{\alpha+1}}\,dx \le \frac{1}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{v_x^2}{v^{2\alpha+2}}\,dx + C\int_{\mathbb{R}} u^2\,dx.$$

Using integration by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{ \frac{v_{xx}}{v^{\beta+5}} - \frac{\beta+5}{2}\frac{v_x^2}{v^{\beta+6}} \right\}_x \frac{v_x}{v^{\alpha+1}} dxd\tau \\
=& -\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{ \frac{1}{v^{\beta+2}} \left(\frac{-v_x}{v^3} \right)_x + \frac{\beta-1}{2}\frac{v_x^2}{v^{\beta+6}} \right\}_x \frac{v_x}{v^{\alpha+1}} dxd\tau \\
=& -\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{v^{\beta+2}} \left(\frac{v_x}{v^{\alpha+1}} \cdot v^{\alpha-2} \right)_x \left(\frac{v_x}{v^{\alpha+1}} \right)_x dxd\tau + \frac{\beta-1}{2}\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{v_x^2}{v^{\beta+6}} \left(\frac{v_x}{v^{\alpha+1}} \right)_x dxd\tau \\
=& -\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{v^{\beta-\alpha+4}} \left[\left(\frac{v_x}{v^{\alpha+1}} \right)_x \right]^2 dxd\tau + \left[\frac{\beta-1}{2} - (\alpha-2) \right] \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{v_x^2}{v^{\beta+6}} \left(\frac{v_x}{v^{\alpha+1}} \right)_x dxd\tau \\
=& -\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{v^{\beta-\alpha+4}} \left[\left(\frac{v_x}{v^{\alpha+1}} \right)_x \right]^2 dxd\tau.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

where in the last step of (3.18), we have used the assumption that $\beta = 2\alpha - 3$.

Substituting (3.18) into (3.17), then it follows from Lemmas 3.1-3.2 and the a priori assumption (3.1) that

$$\|v_x(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t \|v_x(\tau)\|^2 d\tau + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\left(\frac{v_x}{v^{\alpha+1}} \right)_x \right]^2 dxd\tau \le C \left\| \left(v_0 - 1, u_0, \frac{\theta_0 - 1}{\sqrt{\gamma - 1}}, v_{0x} \right) \right\|^2. \tag{3.19}$$

Moreover, we deduce from (3.19), (3.15) and Lemma 3.2 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|v_x(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t \|v_x(\tau)\|_1^2 d\tau &\le C \left\| \left(v_0 - 1, u_0, \frac{\theta_0 - 1}{\sqrt{\gamma - 1}}, v_{0x} \right) \right\|^2 + C\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(v_x^4 + |v_{xx} v_x^2| \right) dxd\tau \\
&\le \eta \int_0^t \|v_{xx}(\tau)\|^2 d\tau + C_\eta \left\| \left(v_0 - 1, u_0, \frac{\theta_0 - 1}{\sqrt{\gamma - 1}}, v_{0x} \right) \right\|^6,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

which together with the smallness of η implies that

$$\|v_x(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t \|v_x(\tau)\|_1^2 d\tau \le C_{38} \left\| \left(v_0 - 1, u_0, \frac{\theta_0 - 1}{\sqrt{\gamma - 1}}, v_{0x} \right) \right\|^6. \tag{3.21}$$

Here C_{38} is a positive constant depending on $\lambda, \underline{V}, \bar{V}, \underline{\Theta}, \bar{\Theta}$ and $\|(v_0 - 1, v_{0x}, u_0, \frac{\theta_0 - 1}{\sqrt{\gamma - 1}})\|$. Letting $C_{36} = \max\{C_{37}, C_{38}\}$, then we can get (3.12) from (3.16) and (3.21). This completes the proof of Lemma 3.3.

For the estimate on $\|u_x(t)\|$, we have

Lemma 3.4. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 3.1, there exits a constant $C_{39} > 0$ depending only on $\lambda, \underline{V}, \bar{V}, \underline{\Theta}, \bar{\Theta}$, $\|v_0 - 1\|_2$, $\|u_0\|_1$ and $\|\frac{\theta_0 - 1}{\sqrt{\gamma - 1}}\|$ such that for $0 \le t \le T_1$,*

$$\|(u_x, v_{xx})(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t \|u_{xx}(\tau)\|^2 d\tau \le C_{39} \left(\|v_0 - 1\|_2^2 + \|u_0\|_1^2 + \left\| \frac{\theta_0 - 1}{\sqrt{\gamma - 1}} \right\|^2 \right). \tag{3.22}$$

Proof. Integrating (2.56) with respect to t and x over $[0,t]\times\mathbb{R}$, we have from Lemma 3.2 that

$$\|(u_x, v_{xx})(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t \|u_{xx}(\tau)\|^2\, d\tau \le C\left(\|(v_{0xx}, u_{0x})\|^2 + I_{14} + I_{15}\right), \tag{3.23}$$

where

$$I_{14} = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{\left|v_{xx}^2 u_x\right| + |\theta_x u_{xx}|\right\} dxd\tau,$$
$$I_{15} = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{|\theta v_x u_{xx}| + |v_x v_{xx} u_{xx}| + |u_x v_x u_{xx}| + \left|v_x^3 u_{xx}\right|\right\} dxd\tau.$$

It follows from the Sobolev inequality, the Cauchy inequality, the a priori assumption (3.1), and Lemmas 3.1 and 3.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} I_{14} &\le \int_0^t \left\{\|u_x(\tau)\|^{\frac12}\|u_{xx}(\tau)\|^{\frac12}\|v_{xx}(\tau)\|^2 + \|u_{xx}(\tau)\|\|\theta_x(\tau)\|\right\} d\tau \\ &\le \eta\int_0^t \|u_{xx}(\tau)\|^2 d\tau + C_\eta\left\{\int_0^t \left(\|v_{xx}(\tau)\|^4 + \|(u_x,\theta_x)(\tau)\|^2\right) d\tau\right\} \\ &\le \eta\int_0^t \|u_{xx}(\tau)\|^2 d\tau + C_\eta\left\{\int_0^t \|v_{xx}(\tau)\|^4 d\tau + \left\|\left(v_0-1, u_0, \frac{\theta_0-1}{\sqrt{\gamma-1}}, v_{0x}\right)\right\|^2\right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_{15} &\le \eta\int_0^t \|u_{xx}(\tau)\|^2 d\tau + C_\eta\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(|u_x v_x|^2 + |v_x v_{xx}|^2 + |v_x|^6 + |v_x|^2\right) dxd\tau \\ &\le \eta\int_0^t \|u_{xx}(\tau)\|^2 d\tau + C_\eta\int_0^t \Big(\|u_x(\tau)\|\|u_{xx}(\tau)\| \sup_{0\le\tau\le t}\{\|v_x(\tau)\|^2\} \\ &\quad + \|v_x(\tau)\|\|v_{xx}(\tau)\|^3 + \sup_{0\le\tau\le t}\{\|v_x(\tau)\|^4\}\|v_{xx}(\tau)\|^2 + \|v_x(\tau)\|^2\Big) d\tau \\ &\le 2\eta\int_0^t \|u_{xx}(\tau)\|^2 d\tau + C_\eta\left(\int_0^t \|v_x(\tau)\|_1^2\|v_{xx}(\tau)\|^2 d\tau + \left\|\left(v_0-1, u_0, \frac{\theta_0-1}{\sqrt{\gamma-1}}, v_{0x}\right)\right\|^{10}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

Combining (3.23)-(3.25), then (3.22) follows from Gronwall's inequality and the smallness of η. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.4.

The following lemma gives an estimate on $\|\theta_x(t)\|$.

Lemma 3.5. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 3.1, there exits a constant $C_{40} > 0$ depending only on $\lambda, \underline{V}, \bar{V}, \underline{\Theta}, \bar{\Theta}$, $\|v_0 - 1\|_2$, $\|u_0\|_1$ and $\|\frac{\theta_0-1}{\sqrt{\gamma-1}}\|_1$ such that for $0 \le t \le T_1$,*

$$\left\|\frac{\theta_x}{\sqrt{\gamma-1}}(t)\right\|^2 + \int_0^t \|\theta_{xx}(\tau)\|^2 d\tau \le C_{40}\left(\|v_0-1\|_2^2 + \|u_0\|_1^2 + \left\|\frac{\theta_0-1}{\sqrt{\gamma-1}}\right\|_1^2\right). \tag{3.26}$$

Lemma 3.5 can be deduced by using the argument in the proof of Lemmas 2.12 and 3.4. Since the proof is similar to that of Lemma 2.12, we omit it here for brevity.

Under the a priori assumption (3.1), we have obtained Corollary 3.1 and Lemmas 3.1-3.5. Now we begin to close the a priori assumption (3.1). First, it follows from the Sobolev inequality, Corollary 3.1 and Lemma 3.5 that

$$\|\theta(t)-1\|_{L_x^\infty} \le \|\theta(t)-1\|^{\frac12}\|\theta_x(t)\|^{\frac12} \le C_{41}(\gamma-1)^{\frac12}\left(\|v_0-1\|_2 + \|u_0\|_1 + \left\|\frac{\theta_0-1}{\sqrt{\gamma-1}}\right\|_1\right), \tag{3.27}$$

where C_{41} is a positive constant depending only on $\lambda, \underline{V}, \bar{V}, \underline{\Theta}, \bar{\Theta}$, $\|v_0-1\|_2$, $\|u_0\|_1$ and $\|\frac{\theta_0-1}{\sqrt{\gamma-1}}\|_1$.

Since $\theta = \frac{A}{R}v^{1-\gamma}\exp\left(\frac{\gamma-1}{R}s\right)$ and $\bar{s} := \frac{R}{\gamma-1}\ln\frac{A}{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\theta - 1 &= \frac{A}{R}v^{1-\gamma}\exp\left(\frac{\gamma-1}{R}s\right) - \frac{A}{R}\exp\left(\frac{\gamma-1}{R}\bar{s}\right)\\ &= \frac{A}{R}v^{1-\gamma}\exp\left(\frac{\gamma-1}{R}s\right) + \frac{A}{R}\left(\exp\left(\frac{\gamma-1}{R}s\right) - \exp\left(\frac{\gamma-1}{R}\bar{s}\right)\right),\\ \theta_x &= \frac{A}{R}(1-\gamma)v^{-\gamma}v_x\exp\left(\frac{\gamma-1}{R}s\right) + \frac{A}{R}v^{1-\gamma}\exp\left(\frac{\gamma-1}{R}s\right)\frac{\gamma-1}{R}s_x.\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\frac{\theta_0-1}{\sqrt{\gamma-1}}\right\| &\le C(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\exp\left(\frac{\gamma-1}{R}\|s_0\|_{L^\infty}\right)\left(\left(\inf v_0(x)\right)^{-\gamma}\|v_0-1\| + \|s-\bar{s}\|\right),\\ \left\|\frac{\theta_{0x}}{\sqrt{\gamma-1}}\right\| &\le C(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\exp\left(\frac{\gamma-1}{R}\|s_0\|_{L^\infty}\right)\left(\left(\inf v_0(x)\right)^{-\gamma}\|v_{0x}\| + \left(\inf v_0(x)\right)^{1-\gamma}\|s_{0x}\|\right).\end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

Since $\frac{\gamma-1}{R}\|s_0\|_{L^\infty}$ and $\inf v_0(x)$ are assumed to be bounded by some constants independent of $\gamma-1$, we deduce from (3.27)-(3.28) that

$$\|\theta-1\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}} \le C_{42}(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\|v_0-1\|_2 + \|u_0\|_1\right) + C_{42}(\gamma-1)\left\|(v_0-1, s_0-\bar{s})\right\|_1, \tag{3.29}$$

where C_{42} is a positive constant depending only on $\lambda, \underline{V}, \bar{V}, \underline{\Theta}, \bar{\Theta}$, $\|v_0-1\|_2$, $\|u_0\|_1$ and $\|\frac{\theta_0-1}{\sqrt{\gamma-1}}\|_1$.

Thus if $\gamma-1$ is sufficiently small such that

$$C_{42}(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\|v_0-1\|_2 + \|u_0\|_1\right) + C_{42}(\gamma-1)\left\|(v_0-1, s_0-\bar{s})\right\|_1 < \min\{\bar{\Theta}-1, 1-\underline{\Theta}\}, \tag{3.30}$$

then we have

$$\theta(t,x) \le \|\theta(t,x)-1\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}} + 1 \le 1 + \min\{\bar{\Theta}-1, 1-\underline{\Theta}\} \le \bar{\Theta}, \tag{3.31}$$

and

$$\theta(t,x) \ge 1 - \|\theta(t,x)-1\|_{L^\infty_{T_1,x}} \ge 1 - \min\{\bar{\Theta}-1, 1-\underline{\Theta}\} \le \bar{\Theta} \ge \underline{\Theta} \tag{3.32}$$

for all $(t,x) \in [0,T_1]\times\mathbb{R}$. This closes the priori assumption (3.1).

Combining Corollary 3.1 and Lemmas 3.2-3.5, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}&\|(v-1)(t)\|_2^2 + \left\|\left(u, \frac{\theta-1}{\sqrt{\gamma-1}}\right)(t)\right\|_1^2 + \int_0^t\left(\|v_x(\tau)\|_1^2 + \|(u_x,\theta_x)(\tau)\|_1^2\right)d\tau\\ &\le C_{43}\left(\|v_0-1\|_2^2 + \left\|\left(u_0, \frac{\theta_0-1}{\sqrt{\gamma-1}}\right)\right\|_1^2\right), \quad \forall t\in[0,T_1],\end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

where C_{43} is a positive constant depending only on $\lambda, \underline{V}, \bar{V}, \underline{\Theta}, \bar{\Theta}$, $\|v_0-1\|_2$, $\|u_0\|_1$ and $\|\frac{\theta_0-1}{\sqrt{\gamma-1}}\|_1$.

By adopting the same argument as above, we can also obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\|v_{xx}(t)\|_2^2 + \left\|\left(u_{xx}, \frac{\theta_{xx}}{\sqrt{\gamma-1}}\right)(t)\right\|_1^2 + \int_0^t\left(\|v_{xx}(\tau)\|_3^2 + \|(u_{xxx},\theta_{xxx})(\tau)\|_1^2\right)d\tau\\ &\le C_{44}\left(\|v_0-1\|_4^2 + \left\|\left(u_0, \frac{\theta_0-1}{\sqrt{\gamma-1}}\right)\right\|_3^2\right), \quad \forall t\in[0,T_1],\end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

where C_{44} is a positive constant depending only on $\lambda, \underline{V}, \bar{V}, \underline{\Theta}, \bar{\Theta}$, $\|v_0-1\|_4$ and $\|(u_0, \frac{\theta_0-1}{\sqrt{\gamma-1}})\|_3$.

Proposition 3.1 follows immediately from Lemma 3.2, and (3.31)-(3.34). And then Theorem 1.2 can be obtained by the standard continuation argument. Hence, we finish the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Acknowledgement

ZZC was supported by the Tian Yuan Foundation of China under contract 11426031, the National Natural Science Foundation of China under contract 11501003, and the Doctoral Scientific Research Funds of Anhui University under contract J10113190005. HJZ was support by two grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China under contracts 10925103 and 11271160 respectively. This work was also supported by a grant from the National Natural Science Foundation of China under contract 11261160485 and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

References

[1] J. E. Dunn, J. Serrin, On the thermodynamics of interstital working, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 88 (1985) 95-133.

[2] J. D. Van der Waals, Thermodynamische Theorie der Kapillarität unter Voraussetzung stetiger Dichteänderung, Z. Phys. Chem. 13 (1894) 657-725.

[3] D. J. Korteweg, Sur la forme que prennent les équations des mouvement des fluids si l'on tient comple des forces capillaries par des variations de densité, Arch. Neerl. Sci. Exactes Nat. Ser. II 6 (1901) 1-24.

[4] D. Bresch, B. Desjardins, C.-K. Lin, On some compressible fluid models: Korteweg, lubrication and shallow water systems, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 28 (2003) 843-868.

[5] R. Danchin, B. Desjardins, Existence of solutions for compressible fluid models of Korteweg type, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Anal. Non linéaire 18 (2001) 97-133.

[6] H. Hattori, D. Li, Golobal solutions of a high dimensional system for Korteweg materials, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 198 (1996) 84-97.

[7] H. Hattori, D. Li, The existence of global solutions to a fluid dynamic model for materials for Korteweg type, J. Partial Differential Equations 9 (1996) 323-342.

[8] B. Haspot, Existence of global weak solution for compressible fluid models of Korteweg type, J. Math. Fluid Mech. 13 (2011) 223-249.

[9] B. Haspot, Existence of strong solutions for nonisothermal Korteweg system, Annales Mathématiques Blaise Pascal 16 (2009) 431-481.

[10] Y.-J. Wang, Z. Tan, Optimal decay rates for the compressible fluid models of Korteweg type, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 379 (2011) 256-271.

[11] Z. Tan, R.-F. Zhang, Optimal decay rates of the compressible fluid models of Korteweg type, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 65 (2014) 279-300.

[12] Y.-P. Li, Global existence and optimal decay rate of the compressible Navier-Stokes-Korteweg equations with external force, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 388 (2012) 1218-1232.

[13] W.-J. Wang, W.-K. Wang, Decay rate of the compressible Navier-Stokes-Korteweg equations with potential force, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 35 (1) (2015) 513-536.

[14] E. Tsyganov, Global existence and asymptotic convergence of weak solutions for the one-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations with capillarity and nonmonotonic pressure, J. Differential Equations 245 (2008) 3936-3955.

[15] F. Charve, B. Haspot, Existence of global strong solution and vanishing capillarity-viscosity limit in one dimension for the Korteweg system, SIMA J. Math. Anal. 45 (2) (2014) 469-494.

[16] P. Germain, P. G. LeFloch, The finite energy method for compressible fluids: The Navier-Stokes-Korteweg model, arXiv: 1212.5347v1.

[17] Z.-Z. Chen, Asymptotic stability of strong rarefaction waves for the compressible fluid models of Korteweg type, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 394 (2012), 438-448.

[18] Z.-Z. Chen, Q.-H. Xiao, Nonlinear stability of viscous contact wave for the one-dimensional compressible fluid models of Korteweg type, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci. 36 (17) (2013) 2265-2279.

[19] Z.-Z. Chen, L. He, H.-J. Zhao, Nonlinear stability of traveling wave solutions for the compressible fluid models of Korteweg type, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 422 (2015) 1213-1234.

[20] Z.-Z. Chen, H.-J. Zhao, Existence and nonlinear stability of stationary solutions to the full compressible Navier-Stokes-Korteweg system, J. Math. Pures Appl. 101 (2014) 330-371.

[21] Z.-Z. Chen, X.-J. Chai, B.-Q. Dong, H.-J. Zhao, Global classical solutions to the one-dimensional compressible fluid models of Korteweg type with large initial data, J. Differential Equations 259 (2015) 4376-4411.

[22] A. V. Kazhikhov, V. V. Shelukhin, Unique global solution with respect to time of initial - bonndary value problems for one-dimensional equations of a viscous gas, J. Appl. Math. Mech. 41 (1977) 273-282.

[23] Y. Kanel', On a model system of equations of one-dimensional gas motion (in Russian), Differ. Uravn. 4 (1968) 374-380.

[24] C. M. Dafermos, Global smooth solutions to the initial-boundary value problem for the equations of one-dimensional nonlinear thermoviscoelasticity, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 13 (1982) 397-408.

[25] H.-X. Liu, T. Yang, H.-J. Zhao, Q.-Y. Zou, One-dimensional compessible Navier-Stokes equations with temperature dependent transport coefficients and large data, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 46 (3) (2014), 2185-2228.

[26] Z. Tan, T. Yang, H.-J. Zhao, Q.-Y. Zou, Global solutions to the one-dimensional compressible Navier-Stokes-Poisson equations with large data, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 45 (2013) 547-571.

[27] B. Kawohl, Global existence of large solutions to initial-boundary value problems for a viscous, heat-conducting, one-dimensional real gas, J. Differential Equations 58 (1985) 76-103.

[28] H. K. Jenssen, T. K. Karper, One-dimensional compressible flow with temperature dependent transport coefficient, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 42 (2010) 904-930.

[29] D. Hoff, Global solutions of the equations of one-dimensional, compressible flow with large data and forces, and with differing end states, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 49 (1998) 774-785.

[30] S. Jiang, P. Zhang, Global weak solutions to the N-S equations for a 1D viscous polytropic ideal gas, Quart. Applied Math. 61 (2003) 435-449.

[31] S. Jiang, Large-time behavior of solutions to the equations of a one-dimensional viscous polytropic ideal gas in unbounded domains, Comm. Math. Phys. 200 (1999) 181-193.

[32] S. Jiang, Remarks on the asymptotic behaviour of solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes equations in the half-line, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 132 (2002) 627-638.

[33] J. Li, Z.-L. Liang, Some uniform estimates and large-time behavior of solutions to one-dimensional compressible Navier-Stokes system in unbounded domains with large data, arXiv:1404.2214v2.

[34] M. Okada, S. Kawashima, On the equations of one-dimensional motion of compressible viscous fluids, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 23 (1) (1983) 55-71.

[35] T. Nishida, J. Smoller, Solutions in the large for some nonlinear hyperbolic conservation laws, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 26 (1973) 183-200.